Proximal sensing technologies on soils and plants on Eyre Peninsula RESEARCH

Fabio Arsego¹, Andrew Ware² and Helena Oakey³

¹SARDI, Minnipa Agricultural Centre/Port Lincoln; ²formerly SARDI, Port Lincoln; ³Biometry Hub University of Adelaide



Location

Minnipa Agriculture Centre, paddock N10, 2017 & 2018 Rainfall Av. Annual: 325 mm Av. GSR: 241 mm 2018 Total: 269 mm 2018 GSR: 208 mm 2017 Total: 262 mm 2017 GSR: 141 mm Yield Potential yield 2018: 1.6 t/ha (Hancock 2006) Actual yield 2018: 2.3 t/ha Potential yield 2017: 1.6 t/ha (Hancock 2006) Actual yield 2017: 2.3 t/ha **Paddock History** 2017: Scepter wheat 2016: Mace wheat 2015: No seeding Soil Type Red sandy clay loam **Plot Size** 5 m x 1.6 m x 3 reps

Location

Lock - Ian Burrows 2017 & 2018 Rainfall Av. Annual: 390 mm Av. GSR: 294 mm 2018 Total: 311 mm 2018 GSR: 231 mm 2017 Annual: 357 mm 2017 GSR: 241 mm Yield Potential yield 2017: 2.0 t/ha (W) (Hancock 2006) Actual yield 2017: 2.4 t/ha **Paddock History** 2017: Pasture-vetch-clover 2016: Peas/self regenerating medic pasture 2015: Barley 2014: Wheat Soil Type Grey sandy loam **Plot Size** 5 m x 1.6 m x 3 reps

Key messages

- **Proximal sensing reflectance** data predicts soil moisture with reasonable accuracy at depths (0-10, 10-30, 30-90 cm) on upper Eyre Peninsula in 2018.
- Reflectance data may also useful for predicting be the amount of soil nitrogen and crop macronutrients, including but not limited nitrogen, phosphorus, to potassium and sulphur.
- Further experimental data is required to use soil and crop reflectance as a means to predict nutrient content environmental because parameters can confound results.

Why do the trial?

This research has developed predictive formulas that can be used by growers to estimate inseason soil moisture at different depths and crop nutrient content from proximal sensing (PS) data.

The upper Eyre Peninsula (UEP) is a challenging environment for growers, due to the Mediterraneantype of climate, where irregular and infrequent winter rainfall patterns are coupled with low soil fertility. Additionally, poor soil structure, low water holding capacity and limited nutrient availability provide challenging conditions for plant growth, as growers currently use granular fertilisers which require good soil moisture conditions to enable the uptake of nutrients. Topsoils from calcareous soils may dry quickly after rain events, which may explain poor water use and nutrient extraction efficiency.

Proximal technologies sensing have the potential to support

grower's nutrient management decisions by monitoring in-season soil and crop water and nutrient content (Allen et al. 2017, Arsego et al. 2017). Compared to the Green Seeker/normalised difference in vegetation index (NDVI) device, newer PS technology can use a wider range of wavelengths to predict soil and crop nutritional status in а non-destructive, quick and inexpensive way. Until recently, PS technology was limited to laboratory use given the size and robustness of the machinery necessary to perform the analysis. The development of small, portable PS devices has now allowed the use of this technology in the field, allowing for the potential for PS to be utilised by growers in their paddocks in the near future. In this research, two years of UEP trials have been combined to calibrate PS for crop nutrient content, and one year of data has been examined for soil moisture and nutrient content.

How was it done?

A total of eight trials (season 2018) were established, three in Cummins, Lock, and Minnipa, two in Piednippie, and three in Nunjikompita (Table 1). A randomised complete block design with three replicates was used for all trials.

Data from biomass cuts sampled at GS31 (stem elongation) include all eight trials and Lock Cummins and Minnipa replicated trials of 2017. At Lock, Cummins and Minnipa 2017-18, a second biomass cut was performed at GS65 (anthesis). A third biomass sampling was conducted at maturity for grain yield and quality testing at Lock 2017-18, Minnipa 2017-18 and Cummins 2017.

Location

Cummins - Stuart Modra 2017 Rainfall 2017 Av. Annual: 396 mm 2017 Av. GSR: 306 mm 2017 Total: 401 mm 2017 GSR: 278 mm Yield Potential yield 2017: 3.3 t/ha (W) (Hancock 2006) Actual yield 2017: 2.3 t/ha Paddock History 2016: 44Y89CL canola 2015: Mace wheat 2014: No seeding Soil Type Clay loam **Plot Size** 5 m x 1.6 m x 3 reps

Location

Cummins - Douglas Green 2018 Rainfall Av. Annual: 423 mm Av. GSR: 314 mm 2018 Total: 361 mm 2018 GSR: 288 mm Yield Potential: 4.4 t/ha (W)(Hancock 2006) Actual: 3.3 t/ha **Paddock History** 2017: Banker canola 2016: Buloke barley 2015: Wyalkatchem wheat Soil Type Clav loam **Plot Size** 5 m x 1.6 m x 3 reps **Trial Design** Randomised complete block **Yield Limiting Factors** None

Location

Piednippie - John Montgomerie 2018 Rainfall Av. Annual: 378 mm Av. GSR: 225 mm 2018 Total: 233 mm 2018 GSR: 181 mm Yield Potential: 3.0 t/ha (W) (Kirkegaard and Hunt 2012) Actual: 2.0 t/ha **Paddock History** 2017: Pasture 2016: Canola 2015: Pasture Soil Type Clay calcareous Plot Size 10 m x 1.6 m x 3 reps Trial Design Randomised complete block **Yield Limiting Factors** 1% grain loss at each plot, late harvest

Table 1 Trial details for the five EP environments tested in 2018

| Trial Details | Lock | Min | nipa | Cummins | |
|---------------|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Varieties | Scepter, Mace, Halberd and Spear wheat | | | | |
| Sowing date | 22 May 2018 | | 15 May 2018 | | |
| Fertiliser | 120 kg/ha Triple Super Phosphate | | 86 kg/ha Triple Super Phosphate | | |
| Herbicide | Boxer gold® 1.5 L/ha, Avadex® 1.5 L/ha, Treflan® 1.7 L/ha, Round up® 2 L/ha, Hammer® 100 ml/ha, Sulphate Ammonia 800 g/ha | | | | |
| Harvest date | 28 November | 13 November | | 16 November | |
| Trial Details | Nunjikomp | lunjikompita P | | iednippie | |
| Variety | Scepter wheat | | | | |
| Sowing rate | 60 kg/ha (Normal seeding rate) and 80 kg/ha (High seeding rate) | | | | |
| Sowing date | 8 May 2018 | | 6 | 6 June 2018 | |
| Fertiliser | Different treatments on the trials 50 kg/ha DAP; 50 kg/ha MAP; 50 kg/ha Urea; 100 kg/ha Triple Super (TSP); 200 kg/ha Single Super; 200 kg/ha Complete Nutrient Mix | | | | |
| Herbicide | Boxer gold @ 1.5 L/ha, Avadex @ 1.5 L/ ha, Roundup @ 2 L/ha, Hammer @ 1.6 L/ha, Broadstrike @ 800 ml/ha | | | | |
| Harvest date | 5 December 2018 7 Dec | | cember 2018 | | |

The GS31 biomass cuts were dried at 35°C in the oven until a constant weight. Then, dry biomass and grain samples were ground and sent to the laboratory for nitrogen content testing. The ground tissue samples of GS31 biomass cuts from Nunjikompita and Piednippie were also tested for macro micronutrients and (nitrogen, phosphorous, potassium, copper, magnesium, iron, manganese, sodium, boron, sulphur and zinc) content at the laboratory.

A gravimetric method was applied to estimate soil moisture of the samples, which were collected with three samples per replicates at sowing, and one sample per plot at maturity. At Cummins, Lock and Minnipa, soil samples were collected up to 90 cm depth. At Piednippie, the soil sampling depth was limited by limestone at a depth of 30 cm onwards, while a maximum depth of 60 cm was reached at Nunjikompita. Additional soil samples were collected using the same methods described above. However, these soil samples were dried in an oven (35°C until constant weight), sieved and sent to the laboratory for nitrogen content.

Water use was calculated with the following formula: (Soil moisture at sowing + growing season rainfall) - Soil moisture at maturity.

Nitrogen nutrition index (NNI) was calculated by dividing the crop critical N concentration (N% at GS31, 4.7) by the actual N% from the laboratory.

Spectral data was collected for biomass and soil samples using a proximal sensing technology (i.e. a SR-3500 spectroradiometer from Spectral Evolution). When the sky was clear, four biomass spectral readings per plot were collected using a 25° (field of view) bare fibre optic in the field at noon time (10 am - 3 pm). On cloudy days, a leaf clip probe was used to measure four random main leaves per plot.

Location Nunjikompita - Tim Howard 2018 Rainfall Av. Annual: 299 mm Av. GSR: 225 mm 2018 Total: 168 mm 2018 GSR: 128 mm Yield Potential: 1.9 t/ha (W) (Kirkegaard and Hunt 2012) Actual: 1.1 t/ha Paddock History 2017: Medic pasture 2016: Mace wheat 2015: Medic pasture Soil Type Red calcareous **Plot Size** 10 m x 1.6 m x 3 reps **Trial Design** Randomised complete block **Yield Limiting Factors** Poor germination

Soil spectral data was recorded using a contact probe, measuring four readings per soil sample, for both gravimetric and oven dried soil. Spectral data were pre-treated methodology using standard (Esbensen and Swarbrick, 2018) and analysed using partial least square (PLS) regression in the Unscrambler X (CAMO version 10.5) to calculate (i) the relationship between spectral data and nutrient data and (ii) the relationship between spectral data and soil moisture data. Linear mixed models were fitted using ASRemI R version 3. Package software was then used to develop local spectral indices and formulas to predict nutrient content from spectral data (Figure 1).

What happened? Soil moisture

As a first step, a multi-site PLS of soil moisture versus spectral data analysis was undertaken considering the five locations. The output revealed a strong correlation ($R^2=0.86$, Figure 2a) between the soil moisture and spectral data.

Six new spectral indices were combined with four reference indices to test a linear relationship with soil moisture for both sowing and maturity sampling dates at each location (Table 2). Cummins showed a completely different trend from all other locations (possibly due to differences in soil texture), therefore was excluded from the analysis. Within each location, most trials exhibited similar results, hence results were reported by location. All indices were significant in the linear analysis for Minnipa and Lock, while Nunjikompita and Piednippie had different indices of significance. Only three spectral indices were significant across all sites (ninson, wisoil and wat3; Table 2), each of these indices represents water vapour peaks of absorbance. The differences in significance within the linear relationship of spectral indices and soil moisture may be related to differences in soil structure across locations.

In order to validate the predictive model (Table 2), a linear model of spectral vs soil moisture was calculated by combining trials sharing similarities in reflectance data (data not shown). As a result, Minnipa and Lock had the highest R² for predicting soil moisture, followed by Nunjikompita and Piednippie trials (Figure 3a-c). At Piednippie and Nunjikompita, there was a distinct separation of soil moisture versus spectral predictions according to depth (Figure 3b-c). The greater separation at Piednippie over Nunjikompita may be due to the lower number of soil depths used in comparison with the other trials (Minnipa and Lock 0-90 cm, Nunjikompita 0-60 cm and Piednippie 0-30 cm).



Figure 1 Example flowchart of the spectral (spec) data processing for nutrient data, from collection to the development of spectral equations. PLS=partial least square analysis.



Figure 2a-b Relationship between soil moisture (reference, mm) and the spectral (predicted) data from the five locations on Eyre Peninsula in 2018. RMSE=root mean square error.

| Table 2 List of new (wat3-wat9) and published (ninsol, ninson, nmsi, wisoil) spectral in | dices. The + sign indicates |
|--|-----------------------------|
| the spectral indices that were significant in the analyses for each location. | |

| Name of spectral indices | Wavelength intervals | Minnipa /Lock | Nunjikompita | Piednippie |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| ninsol | (2076-2260) | + | | |
| ninson | (2122-2230) | + | + | + |
| nsmi | (1800-2119) | + | + | |
| wisoil | (1300-1450) | + | + | + |
| wat3 | (1666-1807) | + | + | + |
| wat4 | (565-606) | + | | + |
| wat5 | (1948-2042) | + | | |
| wat6 | (350-523) | + | | |
| wat8 | (856-1102) | + | + | |
| wat9 | (1290-1500) | + | + | |



Figure 3a-c Validation linear models using reference and predicted soil moisture at Minnipa and Lock (a), Piednippie (b) and (c) Nunjikompita trials. SE=Standard error, *** = P<0.001.

Soil nitrogen

A multi-site analysis considering Lock, Cummins and Minnipa data for 2018 was performed to test the relationship between soil nitrogen and soil spectral data (Figure 4). From the analysis, multiple peaks of regression coefficients were detected with a moderate relationship to soil nitrogen (R²=0.56-0.54, RMSE, Figure 4ab). Although seven new spectral indices were generated following the same process as in the soil moisture dataset, the variability explained by the data was not sufficient to be used by arowers (data not shown). Further studies should examine the potential environmental factors that may affect the relationship between spectral data and soil nitrogen.

Crop nutrient content (nitrogen)

A multi environment partial least square analysis was performed considering 2017-18 trial data from Cummins, Lock, Minnipa and Nunjikompita, and the Piednippie 2018 trial to establish a strong relationship between nitrogen (nitrogen nutrition index) and spectral data (Figure 5a-b).

Crop nutrient content - phosphorus, potassium, sulphur and copper

In the Unscrambler X software, Piednippie and Nunjikompita trials were combined to determine the relationship between GS31 biomass nutrient content measured in the laboratory and biomass nutrient content measured by using spectral data (Figure 6a-c).

All micronutrients showed a nonsignificant relationship between the spectral data and laboratory reference (data shown). not Potassium and phosphorous showed the highest relationship between the laboratory and field reference, followed by sulphur and copper. Particularly, sulphur showed a moderate relationship at the Nunjikompita trial ($R^2=0.6$), while a low relationship ($R^2=0.2$) was detected at Piednippie. Relationships between macronutrients spectral and results would require further testing across multiple seasons and locations in order develop reliable predictive models.



Figure 4a-b Output of the partial least square regression analysis in the Unscrambler software X between the soil nitrogen (kg/ha, reference) and the spectral (predicted) data from Cummins, Minnipa and Lock trials. In (a) linear relationship between reference and prediction, in (b) weighted regression coefficients across the 350-2500 nm spectra. RMSE=root mean square error



Figure 5a-b The relationship of crop nitrogen (reference) and the spectral (predicted) data from Cummins, Minnipa, Lock 2017-18 and Nunjikompita, Piednippie 2018 trials. RMSE=root mean square error.



Figure 6a-d The relationship between crop nutrients (kg/ha, lab reference) and spectral data (predicted) data from Nunjikompita and Piednippie in 2018 trials. RMSE=root mean square error.

What does this mean?

PS technology could provide a useful method for estimating soil and crop nutrient content as it is a guicker and cheaper method than traditional laboratory results. predictions of Spectral soil moisture and depths appear to be reliable and stable across different soil types and depths. Spectral predictions of crop nitrogen have shown a strong relationship across six EP locations. In calcareous soils, a moderately stable relationship was also found between spectral indices and nutrients other than nitrogen, especially sulphur. However, in order for growers to use PS technology on soil and crop nutrient content in the field, further research and studies are needed to determine the environmental conditions that allow specific arrays of spectral indices to have а significant relationship with nutrients.

Acknowledgements

The present project is part of the bilateral investment initiative between SARDI and GRDC (scope study DAS00165). Special thanks to Douglas Green. Stuart Modra. lan Burrows, John Montgomerie, Tim Howard, Jake Hull and families for providing the location of field trials. Thank you to Brenton Sue **Budarick** Sprigas, and Katherina for their collaboration with field activities. Thank you to Sean Mason for reviewing this article.

Bibliography

Allen, D. J., Ware, A, & Porker, K (2018) New technologies for wheat crop monitoring on the Eyre Peninsula Eyre Peninsula Farming Systems Summary 2017, p. 120-126.

Arsego, F., Ware, A., C. Mariano & V. O. Sadras (2018) Estimating water and N co-limitation levels of wheat using remote sensing technologies across upper Eyre Peninsula Eyre Peninsula Farming Systems Summary 2017, p. 127-130.

Hancock, J., Water Use Efficiency of Crops and Pasture (2007). Eyre Peninsula Farming Systems Summary 2006, p. 87-90.

Hunt, J. R., and J. A. Kirkegaard. (2012). A guide to consistent and meaningful benchmarking of yield and reporting of water-use efficiency.

Monjardino, M., et al. Are farmers in low-rainfall cropping regions under-fertilising with nitrogen? A risk analysis (2013). Agricultural Systems 116: 37-51.

Sadras, V. O., & Roget, D. K. (2004). Production and environmental aspects of cropping intensification in a semiarid environment of southeastern Australia. Agronomy Journal, 96(1), 236-246.

