Disclaimer:

This document is based on the results from an individual trial and may contain experimental use patterns that are currently off-label. This document does not provide any interpretation and should not be taken as an endorsement of any unregistered use pattern. Professional advice should be sought for specific recommendations to ensure access to the most up to date information and knowledge. Any product referred to in this document must be used strictly as directed, and in accordance with all label or permit instructions. Always consult the label prior to use.

N Management in Sorghum Trial ID: LB1719 Location: St Ruth Trial Year: 2017

Linda Bailey

Investigator:

Objective: To evaluate the impact of urea timing, method and rate in Sorghum (Trial initiated as part of wheat project. Limited planting moisture meant paddock planted to sorghum) Planting Date: 27/10/2017 **Small Plot Disc Planter** Planting Equipment: Row Spacing: 1 m Planting Rate: Target 8 Plants/m row Planting Depth: 4.5cm Nitrogen Source: **Urea only** Application Code: Application Date: 17/01/2017 8/03/2017 3/08/2017 Method & Rates: Spread, no incorporation: 50, 100 and 200 kg N/ha Spread with incorporation by narrow point tyne: 100 kg N/ha **Spread AFTER cultivation by narrow point tyne:** 100 kg N/ha Banded: 100 kg N/ha Split Application: 50 kg N/ha spread, no incorporation followed by 50 kg N/ha spread at GS30 (30/11/2017) Harvest Date: 3/03/2018 Trial Reliability: Moderate Keywords: Nitrogen, Sorghum NB: Trial designed and analysed as a Factorial In Simple Terms Table of A Means: Mean of 'METHOD X RATE' performance with ALL 'TIMING' treatments Table of B Means: Mean of 'TIMING' performance with ALL 'METHOD X RATE' treatments Table of A x B Means: 'METHOD X RATE' performance with EACH 'TIMING' treatment If YES Table A x B Means analysis is the key information Is there a significant difference for A x B Means?

If NO (ie nsd)

Table A or Table B Means analysis is
the key information

Crop I	Name				So	rghum	
-	/ariety					Buster	
Descri	•				Total Heads	Immature Heads	Moisture Adjusted
	sment Date			9/11/2017	13/02/2018	13/02/2018	3/03/2018
Assess	sment Type			EMERGENCE	COUNT	COUNT	YIELD
Assess	sment Unit			/m Row	/m Row	/m Row	t/ha
Crop S	Stage Majority			14	•		,
Plant-	Evaluation Interval			13 DP1	109 DP1	109 DP1	127 DP1
ARM A	Action Codes			T1	T5	T6	TY4
Trt		Nitrogen	Appln.				
No.	Treatment	Rate	Code				
TABLE	OF A MEANS (Method and	Rate)					
1	Untreated	-		8.0-	8.8e	0.7c	2.57-
2	Spread	50kg ai/ha		7.5-	9.3de	0.9b	2.43-
3	Spread	100kg ai/ha		7.9-	10.0ab	1.1ab	2.66-
4	Spread & Incorporated	100kg ai/ha		8.0-	9.7bcd	1.2ab	2.59-
5	Spread after Cultivation	100kg ai/ha		7.7-	9.4cd	1.2ab	2.56-
6	Banded	100kg ai/ha		8.2-	9.9abc	1.0ab	2.63-
7	Spread	200kg ai/ha		8.2-	10.5a	1.2a	2.76-
8	Split Application	100kg ai/ha		8.1-	9.5bcd	1.3a	2.56-
TABLE	OF B MEANS (Timing)						
1	January		Α	8.1-	9.9a	1.1-	2.67-
2	March		В	8.0-	9.8a	1.0-	2.55-
3	August		С	7.8-	9.2b	1.1-	2.56-
TABLE	OF A x B MEANS (Method a	and Rate x Timin	ıg)				
1a	Untreated		Α	8.7abc	8.9-	0.7-	2.37-
1b	Untreated		В	7.2de	9.0-	0.6-	2.45-
1c	Untreated		С	8.2a-e	8.5-	0.6-	2.89-
2a	Spread	50kg ai/ha	Α	7.6a-e	9.4-	1.0-	2.51-
2b	Spread	50kg ai/ha	В	8.2a-e	9.8-	0.7-	2.50-
2c	Spread	50kg ai/ha	С	6.9e	8.8-	1.1-	2.30-
3a	Spread	100kg ai/ha	Α	7.3de	9.6-	1.1-	2.69-
3b	Spread	100kg ai/ha	В	8.8ab	10.0-	1.0-	2.78-
3c	Spread	100kg ai/ha	С	7.6a-e	10.3-	1.2-	2.50-
4a	Spread & Incorporated	100kg ai/ha	Α	8.1a-e	10.3-	1.3-	2.62-
4b	Spread & Incorporated	100kg ai/ha	В	8.7abc	9.4-	1.1-	2.40-
4c	Spread & Incorporated	100kg ai/ha	С	7.4cde	9.5-	1.1-	2.74-
5a	Spread after Cultivation	100kg ai/ha	Α	8.3a-d	10.0-	0.9-	2.80-
5b	Spread after cultivation	100kg ai/ha	В	8.0a-e	10.0-	1.1-	2.73-
5c	Spread after cultivation	100kg ai/ha	С	6.9e	8.2-	1.4-	2.14-
6a	Banded	100kg ai/ha	Α	8.1a-e	9.7-	1.0-	2.66-
6b	Banded	100kg ai/ha	В	7.6a-e	10.5-	1.1-	2.31-
6c	Banded	100kg ai/ha	С	8.9a	9.6-	1.1-	2.91-
7a	Spread	200kg ai/ha	Α	8.1a-e	10.8-	1.3-	2.80-
7b	Spread	200kg ai/ha	В	8.0a-e	10.6-	1.2-	2.70-
7c	Spread	200kg ai/ha	С	8.4a-d	10.0-	1.1-	2.77-
8a	Split Application	100kg ai/ha	Α	8.4a-d	10.3-	1.3-	2.88-
8b	Split Application	100kg ai/ha	В	7.5b-e	9.3-	1.3-	2.56-
8c	Split Application	100kg ai/ha	С	8.3a-d	8.8-	1.3-	2.25-

Crop	Name					Sorghum		
Crop	Variety					MR Buster		
Asses	sment Date			5/03/2018	5/03/2018	5/03/2018	5/03/2018	5/03/2018
Asses	sment Type			PROTEIN	MOISTURE	TEST WEIGHT	SCREENING	N RECOVERY
	sment Unit			%	%	kg/hL	%	kg N/ha
ARM	Action Codes					<i>3.</i>		T2
Trt		Nitrogen	Appln.					
No.	Treatment	Rate	Code					
TABL	E OF A MEANS (Method an	d Rate)						
1	Untreated			9.3c	14.3a	70.5-	1.3-	37.5-
2	Spread	50kg ai/ha		9.9ab	14.0bc	69.9-	1.3-	37.4-
3	Spread	100kg ai/ha		9.7ab	13.9c	69.4-	1.4-	40.9-
4	Spread & Incorporated	100kg ai/ha		10.1a	14.3ab	69.9-	1.3-	41.1-
5	Spread after Cultivation	100kg ai/ha		9.8ab	14.1abc	70.1-	1.3-	39.5-
6	Banded	100kg ai/ha		10.1a	14.1abc	69.9-	1.3-	41.1-
7	Spread	200kg ai/ha		9.6bc	14.0c	69.4-	1.3-	42.0-
8	Split Application	100kg ai/ha		9.8ab	14.1abc	69.2-	1.2-	39.8-
TABL	E OF B MEANS (Timing)							
1	January		Α	9.8-	14.1-	69.9-	1.3-	41.4-
2	March		В	9.7-	14.1-	69.7-	1.3-	39.1-
3	August		С	9.8-	14.1-	69.7-	1.3-	39.2-
TABL	E OF A x B MEANS (Method	l and Rate x Tim	ning)					
1a	Untreated	-	Α	9.9-	14.2-	70.4-	1.3-	36.9-
1b	Untreated	-	В	9.1-	14.3-	70.4-	1.2-	35.1-
1c	Untreated	-	С	8.8-	14.4-	70.7-	1.4-	40.5-
2a	Spread	50kg ai/ha	Α	9.8-	14.1-	70.3-	1.4-	38.2-
2b	Spread	50kg ai/ha	В	10.0-	14.2-	69.7-	1.3-	38.6-
2c	Spread	50kg ai/ha	С	9.9-	13.9-	69.7-	1.3-	35.3-
3a	Spread	100kg ai/ha	Α	9.7-	13.8-	68.4-	1.3-	41.4-
3b	Spread	100kg ai/ha	В	9.7-	13.9-	69.9-	1.5-	42.5-
3c	Spread	100kg ai/ha	С	9.9-	13.9-	69.8-	1.3-	39.0-
4a	Spread & Incorporated	100kg ai/ha	Α	10.3-	14.3-	70.2-	1.4-	42.3-
4b	Spread & Incorporated	100kg ai/ha	В	9.8-	14.5-	69.8-	1.3-	37.3-
4c	Spread & Incorporated	100kg ai/ha	С	10.0-	14.1-	69.8-	1.3-	43.9-
5a	Spread after Cultivation	100kg ai/ha	Α	9.7-	14.3-	71.2-	1.3-	42.6-
5b	Spread after Cultivation	100kg ai/ha	В	9.7-	14.1-	69.2-	1.2-	42.1-
5c	Spread after Cultivation	100kg ai/ha	С	10.1-	14.0-	70.1-	1.6-	33.8-
6a	Banded	100kg ai/ha	Α	10.0-	14.1-	70.6-	1.3-	42.6-
6b	Banded	100kg ai/ha	В	10.2-	14.1-	69.8-	1.4-	36.7-
6c	Banded	100kg ai/ha	С	10.0-	14.2-	69.2-	1.2-	43.9-
7a	Spread	200kg ai/ha	Α	9.6-	14.2-	69.1-	1.4-	42.8-
7b	Spread	200kg ai/ha	В	9.5-	13.9-	70.1-	1.2-	40.9-
7c	Spread	200kg ai/ha	С	9.6-	13.9-	69.1-	1.3-	42.4-
8a	Split Application	100kg ai/ha	Α	9.7-	14.2-	69.4-	1.2-	44.7-
8b	Split Application	100kg ai/ha	В	9.8-	13.8-	68.8-	1.3-	39.8-
8c	Split Application	100kg ai/ha	С	9.9-	14.3-	69.4-	1.3-	34.9-

	FACTORIAL/POOLED ERROR AOV Sorghum - MR Buster 9/11/2017								
	EMERGENCE /m ² 14 13 DP1 T1								
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob.(F)	LSD (.05)			
Total	95	102.164063							
R	3	8.304688	2.768229	3.044	0.0345				
Α	7	4.372396	0.624628	0.687	0.6828	0.8			
В	2	1.136719	0.568359	0.625	0.5383	0.5			
AB	14	25.592448	25.592448 1.828032 2.010 0.0295 1.3						
ERROR	69	62.757813	0.909534						

	FACTORIAL/POOLED ERROR AOV Sorghum - MR Buster Total Heads 13/02/2018 COUNT /m Row 109 DP1 T5								
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob.(F)	LSD (.05)			
Total	71	64.057639							
R	2	8.555278	4.277639	8.794	0.0006				
Α	7	16.575170	2.367881	4.868	0.0004	0.6			
В	2	6.665833	3.332917	6.852	0.0025	0.4			
AB	AB 14 9.884784 0.706056 1.451 0.1688 1.0								
ERROR	46	22.376574	0.486447						

	FACTORIAL/POOLED ERROR AOV Sorghum - MR Buster Immature Heads 13/02/2018 COUNT /m Row 109 DP1 T6								
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob.(F)	LSD (.05)			
Total	71	8.994306							
R	2	0.483611	0.241806	2.233	0.1187				
Α	7	2.578503	0.368358	3.401	0.0052	0.3			
В	2	0.165093	0.082546	0.762	0.4724	0.2			
AB	AB 14 0.785525 0.056109 0.518 0.9100 0.5								
ERROR	46	4.981574	0.108295						

	FACTORIAL/POOLED ERROR AOV Sorghum - MR Buster Moisture Adjusted 3/03/2018									
		YIELD t	/ha 127 DP1	TY4						
Source	e DF Sum of Squares Mean Square F Prob.(F) LSD (.05)									
Total	93	60.554717								
R	3	45.134590	15.044863	91.775	0.0001					
Α	7	0.721911	0.103130	0.629	0.7301	0.33				
В	2	0.258301	0.129151	0.788	0.4590	0.20				
AB	AB 14 3.456479 0.246891 1.506 0.1331 0.57									
ERROR	67	10.983435	0.163932							

	FACTORIAL/POOLED ERROR AOV Sorghum - MR Buster 5/03/2018 PROTEIN %								
Source	ce DF Sum of Squares Mean Square F Prob.(F) LSD (.05)								
Total	95	42.142396							
R	3	17.088646	5.696215	25.213	0.0001				
Α	7	5.524896	0.789271	3.494	0.0029	0.4			
В	2	0.303333	0.151667	0.671	0.5143	0.2			
AB	14	3.636667	0.259762	1.150	0.3332	0.7			
ERROR	69	15.588854	0.225925						

	FACTORIAL/POOLED ERROR AOV Sorghum - MR Buster 5/03/2018 MOISTURE %								
Source	DF	F Sum of Squares Mean Square F Prob.(F) LSD (.05							
Total	95	20.479583							
R	3	10.081250	3.360417	32.076	0.0001				
Α	7	1.712917	0.244702	2.336	0.0336	0.3			
В	2	0.023333	0.011667	0.111	0.8948	0.2			
AB	AB 14 1.433333 0.102381 0.977 0.4852 0.5								
ERROR	69	7.228750	0.104764						

	FACTORIAL/POOLED ERROR AOV Sorghum - MR Buster 5/03/2018 TEST WEIGHT kg/hL									
Source	DF	DF Sum of Squares Mean Square F Prob.(F) LSD (.05)								
Total	95	295.373333								
R	3	125.802500	41.934167	22.143	0.0001					
Α	7	15.891667	2.270238	1.199	0.3153	1.1				
В	2	1.095208	0.547604	0.289	0.7498	0.7				
AB	AB 14 21.911458 1.565104 0.826 0.6386 1.9									
ERROR	69	130.672500	1.893804							

	FACTORIAL/POOLED ERROR AOV Sorghum/ MR Buster 5/03/2018 SCREENING %								
Source	DF Sum of Squares Mean Square F Prob.(F) LSD (.05)								
Total	95	5.949896							
R	3	1.111146	0.370382	6.627	0.0005				
Α	7	0.129063	0.018438	0.330	0.9378	0.2			
В	2	0.007708	0.003854	0.069	0.9334	0.1			
AB	AB 14 0.845625 0.060402 1.081 0.3900 0.3								
ERROR	69	3.856354	0.055889						

Trial ID: LB1719 Location: St Ruth Trial Year: 2017

	FACTORIAL/POOLED ERROR AOV Sorghum - MR Buster 5/03/2018 N RECOVERY kg N/ha T2								
Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F	Prob.(F)	LSD (.05)			
Total	93	12741.459189							
R	3	8916.305816	2972.101939	69.842	0.0001				
Α	7	252.343061	36.049009	0.847	0.5526	5.3			
В	2	110.252229	55.126114	1.295	0.2806	3.3			
AB	14	611.377499	43.669821	1.026	0.4392	9.2			
ERROR	67	2851.180585	42.554934						

Assessment Type

N RECOVERY = Nitrogen recovery in grain

Crop Stage Majority

14 = 4 Leaves unfolded

ARM Action Codes

T1 = [C1]/4

T5 = [C8] / [C7]

T6 = [C10] / [C7]

TY4 = 0.5376344*[C12]*(100-[C16])/86.5

T2 = [C15]*[C14]*1.6

DP1 = Days after Planting

	Application Description										
A B C											
Application Date:	17/01/2017		8/02/	8/02/2017		3/08/2017					
Application Method:	SPREAD	BANDED	SPREAD	BANDED	SPREAD	BANDED	SPREAD				
Application Placement:	TOPDRESS	BAND	TOPDRESS	BAND	TOPDRESS	BAND	TOPDRESS				
Next Moisture Occurred On:	curred On: 20/01/2017		14/03	14/03/2017		3/10/2017					

Conclusions:

This trial was established to evaluate the impact of urea application method and timing on wheat production. However a lack of planting moisture prevented wheat being planted and the treatments already initiated were utilised to generate sorghum data. Unfortunately, one section of the trial area was over-sprayed with a commercial fallow treatment. No data was generated from the damaged area. There was ~80 kg N/ha present to 120cm depth in the Untreated plots at planting with the majority in the 0-45cm depth.

 $Emergence\ counts\ showed\ no\ significant\ difference\ due\ to\ the\ main\ effects\ of\ application\ timing\ or\ N\ method\ and\ rate.$

Head counts were taken 3 days prior to crop desiccation. There was a significant effect from timing of N application with increased total head counts from the January or March applications compared to the same treatments applied in August. There was also a N rate response evident. There was no significant difference apparent between the methods of application. Counts of immature heads showed all N rates and methods had significantly increased heads compared to the Untreated. These were unlikely to impact on yield due to crop desiccation shortly after assessment.

There was no significant difference in yield from any N rate, method or application timing with yields only averaging ~2.6t/ha. Significant increases in grain protein were apparent from all applications of N but at a low magnitude (~0.3-0.8%).

Under the low yields achieved, there was no significant impact on yield from any N application, despite positive results in head counts. The Untreated level of soil nitrogen at planting (80 kg N/ha) was sufficient for the yield potential achieved.

Soil samples taken two weeks after harvest from the Untreated and 200 kg N/ha plots showed limited movement of nitrogen in the soil. The majority of soil nitrogen was found in the top 30 cm of the soil profile: 64% of the extra N was still in the top 30 cm of soil from application in January 2017. For March 2017 and August 2017 applications this figure was 81% and 87% respectively.