

/// obtain GRDC style guide for logo use, colours

/// Front cover

Irrigated double cropping

Best management practices for double cropping in southern irrigation areas

>>> photo of trial work or farm operation capturing essence of double cropping

/// Inside front cover – blank (or possibly imprint)

/// Title page – page i

Irrigated double cropping

Best management practices for double cropping in southern irrigation areas

Revised and updated best management practices for southern New South Wales and northern Victoria.

The information in this publication has been developed from results, data and experience of the project *Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping* (GRDC project VIC00010).

/// logos of GRDC, ICC and NSW DPI

/// small print on inside of title page (page ii) and beneath Contents – depending on layout

/// check publishing details with GRDC

Irrigated double cropping – Best management practices for double cropping in southern irrigation areas

GRDC project VIC00010 – *Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping*

© Published by the Grains Research and Development Corporation, November 2017

ISBN XXXXX

Author Damian Jones

Photographs XXX, YYY, ZZZ

Editor Lucy Kealey

Graphic designer XXX, YYY, ZZZ

Printer XXX, YYY, ZZZ

Disclaimer



/// page ii or iii

Contents – working list – may reduce levels in final doc

Introduction.....	4
1. Summary of best management practices for double cropping.....	5
1. Field layout, water delivery and drainage.....	5
2. Weed, pest and disease control.....	5
3. Opportunity cost of water	5
4. Sowing time	5
5. Soil moisture at sowing.....	5
6. Crop establishment.....	6
7. Nutrition.....	6
8. Irrigation.....	6
9. Timeliness of operations.....	6
10. Marketing.....	6
2. Making the best double cropping decisions.....	8
3. Rotation choices and implications for double cropping.....	12
Barley.....	14
Canola.....	15
Faba beans	16
Wheat.....	17
Cotton.....	18
Maize	20
Soybeans	21
Hay.....	21
4. Management considerations for double cropping.....	23
Soil type.....	23
Irrigation layout.....	23
Herbicide management.....	24
Crop establishment	25
Nutrient management.....	25
Irrigation management	25
Windrowing.....	26
Stubble	27
Machinery and labour	27



Financial assessment	28
Conclusion	31
Useful resources	32

checks

border check as two words – not hyphenated, not one word	✓
plant back as two words – not hyphenated, not one word	✓
root zone as two words	✓
check barley not barely	✓
check trial not trail	✓



/// new section

Introduction

Crop sequencing is the practice of growing a series of different crops in the same area in sequential seasons, or allocation of crop types to specific paddocks through time. Double cropping refers to growing two crops per year on an area of land within a crop sequence.

Double cropping has been practiced across the irrigation zone of southern New South Wales and northern Victoria for several decades but numerous issues have hampered wide-spread adoption. These issues include water availability, commodity prices, crop choice, variety maturity, irrigation layout, suitable machinery, herbicide residues and options, adequate labour and an individual's personal drive.

Past research and investigation of double cropping has addressed some of the issues listed above, as have new crop varieties and irrigation technologies. However the irrigation and cropping environment is constantly changing, and ideas, experience and technologies continue to evolve. The *Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping* project was established in 2014 to investigate:

- profitability of some common double cropping sequences
- the fit of a new crop to the region — cotton — in cropping sequences
- techniques to accelerate harvest and/or sowing, enabling better timing within the crop sequence.

The first step in this project was to conduct a double cropping situation analysis to gain an understanding of irrigator's issues and cropping intentions, farm size and infrastructure and previous research.

Over 75% of irrigators surveyed through the online survey had an irrigation area of less than 600 hectares. These irrigators have an incentive to investigate options that have greater returns from their irrigation investment, as increasing cropping area is not an option.

Access to on-farm efficiency programs has seen considerable investment in new on-farm irrigation infrastructure that may increase the range of crops that can be grown and/or save on labour, in addition to achieving greater returns per megalitre of water applied. It is assumed as infrastructure improves there will be an increase in double cropping and an associated increase in profitability.

Information from the situation analysis directed trial activities for the project at Leeton, Kerang and Numurkah. The Leeton site investigated double cropping sequences and the economic performance of crops in the trial was used to develop the Correct Crop Sequencing Decision Support Tool, explained in Section 2. The Victorian sites investigated agronomic aspects of double cropping, such as herbicide residues and harvest strategies.

This guide, *Irrigated double cropping — Best management practices for double cropping in southern irrigation areas*, is based on the interpretation of the trial results as well as summarised feedback from experienced double croppers; and it complements the previous Irrigated Cropping Council and Victorian Department of Primary Industries publication *Double Cropping in Northern Victoria*. It expands on existing management practice guidelines for double cropping and provides up-to-date economic comparisons of double cropping sequences to assist decision making.

This guide is produced from GRDC investment via the *Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping* project, and co-funded by the Irrigated Cropping Council and NSW Department of Primary Industries.



/// new section

1. Summary of best management practices for double cropping

1. Field layout, water delivery and drainage

The irrigation layout and infrastructure must be capable of supplying water and draining in time frames that minimise waterlogging of the crop but ensure the soil profile is re-filled.

Aim for water on–water off in 4–6 hours for border check layouts and 12 hours for siphons.

Different crop have different tolerance to waterlogging and this may influence the rotation choices.

2. Weed, pest and disease control

Regular paddock monitoring is needed to ensure pests and diseases are identified early and appropriate action is taken for control.

When considering herbicide options, make sure the plant back periods are suitable for the next crop(s) in the sequence.

Certain Group B herbicides have long plant back periods, and pulses especially can be sensitive. Variety choice can overcome some of the risks associated with herbicide residues.

3. Opportunity cost of water

Ensure that planned crops are profitable to grow. What looks like a profitable crop on paper can quickly become a loss during the season. A gross margin analysis will provide guidance.

Water is a major input cost and its price will fluctuate from season to season, along with commodity prices.

4. Sowing time

Sowing on time is essential, not only for yield potential but also to ensure timely harvest before the next crop in the sequence.

Variety choice can help manage the sowing window by selecting shorter or longer season varieties.

Many growers start the double cropping sequence with the summer crop.

5. Soil moisture at sowing

Ensure there is adequate soil moisture for crop establishment and crop growth.

Determine subsoil moisture levels after harvest and pre-irrigate if required. However, sowing a summer crop into receding soil moisture is less risky than watering up with surface irrigation, so watch weather forecasts.

Sprinklers are ideal for supplying required amounts of water to ensure germination and establishment.

Stubble can be an issue with trapping moisture or interfering with water flow, leading to uneven wetting of the soil profile.



6. Crop establishment

Crop establishment is critical to ensure a profitable crop, particularly with summer crops.

Knowing the target population, seed size and quality for the crop and the conditions, along with access to suitable and accurate seeding equipment is essential for success.

7. Nutrition

Matching nutrient supply with target yields is necessary for success in all cropping programs but demand for soil nutrients in double cropping will be intensified.

Soil testing before sowing helps to identify the level of nutrients present, and a nutrient budget can be calculated to ensure the crop is adequately fertilised.

Phosphorus levels need to be ascertained before sowing so adequate amounts of the nutrient can be supplied; and knowledge of nitrogen levels helps determine a nitrogen strategy for the whole growing season.

8. Irrigation

Efficient water application and water use is critical in all irrigated cropping programs. Monitor soil moisture levels to ensure there is adequate moisture in the root zone.

Use crop growth stage and weather forecasts in conjunction with soil moisture information to plan irrigation schedules.

9. Timeliness of operations

The most critical factor for successful double cropping is being able to manage the transition between winter and summer (or summer and winter) crops.

Harvest and sowing of different season crops can occur at almost the same time, so having access to required labour and machinery is essential to manage the competing priorities.

Timely operations also depend on the stubble of the previous crop not impeding watering, germination or crop establishment.

10. Marketing

Marketing of summer grains can be more difficult than marketing winter grains, with fewer options for receipt. Prices of some commodities can fluctuate widely, so gross margin analysis can help indicate the price required for a profitable crop.

Contracts may be a way of managing price risk. It is essential to understand the particular characteristic of different markets, e.g. maize has hectare contracts and soybeans may be contracted as culinary or crush. It is important to establish whether or not there will be yield penalties.

Marketing becomes easier as relationships with buyers develop.



Detailed information and production guidelines of individual crops within a double cropping program are not provided in this publication — for this information visit the website of your department of primary industry or consult your agronomist or supplier.



/// new section

2. Making the best double cropping decisions

Growing two crops in 52 weeks is a honed skill and for many years, southern irrigators believed it was impossible to continuously double crop.

Many growers achieve three crops in two years, and some achieve five crops in three years. However, very few claim to have mastered continuous double cropping.

The Correct Crop Sequencing Decision Support Tool is a series of spreadsheets designed to support decision making about the correct crop sequence in order to successfully double crop. It aims to provide an easy way to assess the gross margin outcomes for different rotations, attribute an average water price to those rotations and customise the output for individual businesses.

Follow the link to the [Correct Crop Sequencing Decision Support Tool](http://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/agriculture/budgets/costs/cost-calculators/correct-crop-sequencing-decision-support-tool) or go to the NSW DPI website:

www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/agriculture/budgets/costs/cost-calculators/correct-crop-sequencing-decision-support-tool

The navigation links (or sheet tabs) take you to the various components of the decision support tool. Most important is the “Instruction” link. Please read the instructions before using the tool to understand how the various components of the tool interact with each other.



Department of
Primary Industries



GRDC
GRAND RESEARCH
RESEARCH CORPORATION



Irrigated Cropping Council
Promoting irrigated agriculture



Irrigation Research &
Extension Committee



Decision Support Tool for the GRDC project (VIC00010; 2014–2016), ‘Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping’

A rotation planner for up to a 10-year period is provided along with matrices to compare variations in commodity price and yield; yield and water price; and commodity price and water price.
This tool is for economic planning, and not designed to inform growers on agronomic variables.

Navigation

[Go to Instructions](#)
[Go to Gross Margins on the fly](#)
[Go to Rotation Planner](#)
[Go to Water Pricing](#)
[Go to Example Gross Margins](#)
[Go to Customise GMI](#)
[Go to Gross Margin Template](#)
[Go to Leeton Trial Results](#)

Irrigation Water Pricing Websites

WaterNSW water pricing
Dept of Agriculture and Water Resources price reports
Victorian Water Register

www.water.nsw.gov.au/customer-service/pricing
www.agriculture.gov.au/about/water/market-price-information
<http://waterregister.vic.gov.au/>

Acknowledgements

John Sykes for his consultancy and contribution to the outcome of this project
Producers that contributed to this project who were located across the MIA, CIA and the Murray Valley

Tab Legend

GM Rotation Planner & Results
GM Support Tools
Cover & Instructions
Appendix Items

Disclaimers

The information contained in this publication is based on knowledge and understanding at the time of writing (Sept 2016). However, because of advances in knowledge, users are reminded of the need to ensure that the information upon which they rely is up to date and to check the currency of the information with the appropriate officer of NSW DPI or the user's independent adviser.

The product trade names in this publication are supplied on the understanding that no preference between equivalent products is intended and that the inclusion of a product name does not imply endorsement by the department over any equivalent product from another manufacturer.

Recognising that some of the information in this document is provided by third parties, the State of New South Wales, the author and the publisher take no responsibility for the accuracy, currency, reliability or correctness of any information included in the document provided by third parties.

/// screenshot of Sheet 1 of DST



Gross Margins on the Fly

Up to five years of rotations can be entered into the *Gross Margins on the Fly* worksheet, using the drop down boxes. Once a crop is selected in the gross margin worksheet, the decision support tool populates the table with generic income and variable costs to give the crop gross margin dollar return per hectare or per megalitre. These figures are based on the costs, prices and yields obtained from the *Correct Crop Sequencing for Double Cropping* project rotation trials at Leeton from the 2014–16 seasons.

With this in mind, the ‘on the fly’ gross margins are only indicative of the planned rotation due to the seasonal variability in prices and yield.

It is important to understand that any combination of crop choices can be selected in the gross margin worksheet, and that the gross margin worksheet does not consider the practicality or workability of the rotational fit. Forward planning is essential when analysing the gross margins of crop options for double cropping, and selection of crops must take into account future crop or variety choices.

/// put in speech bubble or other feature

It is important to understand that any combination of crop choices can be selected in the gross margin worksheet, but these may not be practical or workable in a rotation. For example, the worksheet will let you choose a late maturing winter crop that is ready for harvest in November and a summer crop option that needs to be sown in October, and the worksheet will not take into account the impact of herbicide choices. After analysing gross margins, the agronomic implications of rotations must be considered.

DRAFT Gross Margins on the fly

This is a first step for quick rotation planning. It draws on example gross margins so you can quickly build a rotation plan. Your rotation can be anywhere from 2 years to 10 years long. You can then customise the details to suit your farm in the next step.

Year	Season	Crop	Income	Costs	Gross Margin (\$/ha)	Gross Margin (\$/ML)
1	Winter	Barley	\$ 1,009.79	\$ 704.36	\$ 305.43	\$ 87.27
	Summer	Maize	\$ 3,625.12	\$ 1,517.28	\$ 2,107.84	\$ 210.78
2	Winter	Cereal Hay	\$ 1,360.00	\$ 780.11	\$ 579.89	\$ 386.59
	Summer	Cotton	\$ 7,578.00	\$ 2,829.71	\$ 4,748.29	\$ 474.83
3	Winter	Barley	\$ 1,009.79	\$ 704.36	\$ 305.43	\$ 87.27
	Summer	Maize	\$ 3,625.12	\$ 1,517.28	\$ 2,107.84	\$ 210.78
4	Winter	Barley	\$ 1,009.79	\$ 704.36	\$ 305.43	\$ 87.27
	Summer	Soybeans	\$ 1,582.08	\$ 611.32	\$ 970.76	\$ 138.68
5	Winter	Barley	\$ 1,009.79	\$ 704.36	\$ 305.43	\$ 87.27
	Summer	Maize	\$ 3,625.12	\$ 1,517.28	\$ 2,107.84	\$ 210.78

Quick Step Guide

1. Select crop rotation from the drop down menus.
2. This will pre-populate generic Gross Margin (GM) results for a selection of crops.
3. Cumulative GM results will be shown at the bottom of the table.

Based on the crop selection, a preliminary cumulative gross margin estimate per hectare is

\$ 13844/ha

CLICK to go to Rotation Planner:
Explore detail and customise to my farm

Based on the crop selection provided, a preliminary cumulative GM for each ML water used is

\$ 1982/ML

Disclaimers

The information contained in this publication is based on knowledge and understanding at the time of writing (Sept 2016). However, because of advances in knowledge, users are reminded of the need to ensure that the information upon which they rely is up to date and to check the currency of the information with the appropriate officer of NSW DPI or the user's independent adviser.

Recognising that some of the information in this document is provided by third parties, the State of New South Wales, the author and the publisher take no responsibility for the accuracy, currency, reliability or correctness of any information included in the document provided by third parties.

GM On The Fly
Rotation Planner
GM Charts
GM Matrix (\$ha)
GM Matrix (\$ML)

/// screenshot of Sheet 2 of DST




Rotation Planner

For more accurate gross margins reflecting the current season prices, the *Rotation Planner* worksheet allows crop-specific gross margins to be calculated. New rotations can be added to the planner. The worksheets *Example Gross Margins*, *Gross Margin Template* and *Customise Gross Margins* within the decision support tool provide a guide and prompt for irrigated cropping inputs and operations and the costs involved. Remember that the price of water is a separate input cost in the *Rotation Planner*.

Once the estimated yield, commodity price, water required to grow the crop and water price are added into the tables, the decision support tool will calculate the return per hectare or megalitre for that crop; and the accumulated gross margins for the planned double cropping rotation.

An additional element in the *Rotation Planner* is the ability to look at the influence of commodity price, water cost or yield. The range in water pricing is added into the worksheet, in the *Variation of Water Price* column.




The decision support tool automatically calculates a matrix of gross margins based on the commodity price up to +/- \$40/t, as well as +/- 25% of the estimated yield. By clicking on the links on the right hand side of the table, you will be taken to the *GM Matrix* worksheets (\$/ha and \$/ML) where the effect of changes in prices and yield and their effect on gross margin can be seen.



Department of Primary Industries

GRDC

DRAFT Crop Rotation Planner

Decision Support Tool for the GRDC project (VIC00010; 2014–2016), 'Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping'

A rotation planner for up to a 10-year period is provided along with matrices to compare variations in commodity price and yield, yield and water price; and commodity price and water price. Your rotation can be anywhere from 2 years to 10 years long.

test

white with blue text = data entry field (for your data)

mid-grey = calculation field, do not alter

Sequence name: Barley Maize Cereal Hay Cotton Barley Maize Soybeans Barley Maize									
Click here to Clear									
Step 1 Input rotation, yield and commodity price									
Yr	Season	Crop name	Gross Margin (\$/ha)	Yield (t/ha)	Price (\$/t)	Gross Income (\$/ha)	Variable Costs (\$/ha)	Water Use (ML/ha)	Water Price (\$/ML)
Year 1	Winter	Barley	6.00	2.20		1,200	273	4.0	100
	Summer	Maize	12.60	2.30		3,654	1,266	8.0	100
Year 2	Winter	Cereal Hay	12.00	1.50		1,800	750	3.0	100
	Summer	Cotton			10.00	5,000	3,325	8.0	100
Year 3	Winter	Barley	6.00	2.20		1,200	475	3.5	100
	Summer	Maize	14.60	3.05		4,455	1,250	8.0	100
Year 4	Winter	Barley	6.50	2.50		1,625	475	3.5	100
	Summer	Soybeans	3.00	4.50		1,350	500	6.0	100
Year 5	Winter	Barley	6.50	2.50		1,625	475	3.5	100
	Summer	Maize	13.00	3.10		4,930	1,250	8.0	100
						25,331		55.5	100
						10,839			

Disclaimers

The information contained in this publication is based on knowledge and understanding at the time of writing (Sept 2016). However, because of advances in knowledge, users are reminded of the need to ensure that the information upon which they rely is up to date and to check the currency of the information with the appropriate office of NSW DPI or the user's independent adviser. Recognising that some of the information in this document is provided by third parties, the State of New South Wales, the author and the publisher take no responsibility for the accuracy, currency, reliability or correctness of any information included in the document provided by third parties.

CLICK to explore example Gross Margins

CLICK to explore water pricing

Cover GM On The Fly Rotation Planner GM Charts GM Matrix (\$/ha) GM Matrix (\$/ML) ...

/// screenshot of Sheet 3 of DST

Year 1 Winter: Barley									
Gross Margin (\$/ML)									
Commodity Price/Unit									
Yield V Price	\$160	\$180	\$200	\$220	\$240				
4.5	\$38	\$16	\$7	\$29	\$52				
5.0	\$18	\$7	\$32	\$57	\$82				
5.5	\$2	\$29	\$57	\$84	\$112				
6.0	\$22	\$52	\$82	\$112	\$142				
6.5	\$42	\$74	\$107	\$139	\$172				
7.0	\$62	\$97	\$132	\$167	\$202				
7.5	\$82	\$119	\$157	\$194	\$232				
Table requires minimum of yield and commodity price to create output.									

Year 1 Summer: Maize									
Gross Margin (\$/ML)									
Commodity Price/Unit									
Yield V Price	\$250	\$270	\$290	\$310	\$340				
9.8	\$42	\$66	\$90	\$114	\$150				
10.6	\$73	\$100	\$126	\$153	\$192				
11.6	\$136	\$167	\$199	\$230	\$277				
12.6	\$136	\$167	\$199	\$230	\$277				
13.6	\$167	\$201	\$235	\$269	\$320				
14.6	\$198	\$235	\$271	\$308	\$362				
15.6	\$229	\$268	\$307	\$346	\$405				
Table requires minimum of yield and commodity price to create output.									

/// screenshot of Sheet 6 of DST

Water Pricer

The final part of the double cropping planning jigsaw is the cost of water. The Correct Crop Sequencing Decision Support Tool allows all sources of water to be included into the final average price of water used in the gross margin calculations. Each source of water can be entered into the table and its price, as well as the proportion to be used on the winter or summer crop.

Keep in mind that the strict interpretation of a gross margin is to only include variable costs, so fixed water costs or charges are not used in the calculations.

/// put in speech bubble or other feature

You may choose to use the full cost of water in your gross margin — just enter the full cost value in the “variable” column. Similarly, there are many ways that irrigators calculate the price of water in their gross margins — from the actual cost through the wheel to the opportunity cost on the temporary water market. Whatever your choice, just be consistent.

The Water Pricer worksheet also calculates the Average Variable Water Price, Average Fixed Water Price and the Temporary Market Price by selecting the drop down tab in the top left hand side of the worksheet.

Select Water Price Method From
Drop Down
Average Total Water Price

DRAFT Water Pricing Calculator

Water Pricing Calculator
This calculator can be used to estimate the average water cost for water per crop over a water budgeting year. Fill out the light grey cells for an average water price to be calculated. This can then be used in the 'Rotation Planner' tab.

	Total Entitlement (ML)	Allocation (%)	Allocation (ML)	Winter Crop Water Allocation (%)	Summer Crop Water Allocation (%)	Variable Water Cost (\$/ML)	Total Fixed Water Cost (\$)	Water Cost (\$/ML)
Scheme Allocated Entitlement	600	98%	588	30%	70%	\$ 100	\$ 15	\$ 100
River Water Entitlement								
Groundwater Entitlement								
Carry Over Entitlement	100	100%	100	0%	100%	\$ 55	\$ -	\$ 55
High Flow/Off Allocation								
High Reliability Water Share								
Low Reliability Water Share								
Purchased/Temporary Water	100	100%	100	0%	100%	\$ 145		\$ 145

Water Price Definitions

"Average Total Water Price" - average water price of all water entitlements and includes both fixed and variable entitlement charges

"Average Variable Water Price" - average variable price of all water entitlements including temporary entitlements, and excluding all fixed water entitlement charges.

"Average Fixed Water Price" - average variable price of all water entitlements including temporary entitlements, and excluding all variable water entitlement charges.

"Temporary Market Price" - the price water can be bought or sold on the temporary water trading market. Excludes all other water entitlements.

	Winter	Summer
Crop Water Cost at sowing date (\$/ML)	\$100	\$100

Which crop year would you like to use the water price for?
 Year 3

Copy Water Price to Rotation Planner

[CLICK to go back to rotation planner](#)

Quick Step Guide
 1. Input your water entitlement and allocation details into the light grey fields of the table.
 2. Select the type of water pricing method you would like to use., in top left corner of page.
 3. Once happy with the water price, select a crop year from the drop down menu under table and click the button to import to the 'Rotation Planner'

/// screenshot of Sheet 7 of DST

The Decision Support Tool for Double Cropping aims to provide an easy way for irrigators to assess the gross margins of different rotations, attribute an average water price to those rotations and customise inputs, yields and commodity prices for their own circumstances.



/// new section

3. Rotation choices and implications for double cropping

In order to grow two crops under irrigation in 52 weeks or less, there are a number of issues that growers and their advisers need to consider to understand correct crop sequencing and double cropping.

The field trial component of the *Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping* project investigated a range of rotation options and agronomic challenges encountered in double cropping. Field trials were conducted at Leeton Field Station, which is operated by the NSW Department of Primary Industries.

After consultation with irrigators, a series of rotations were designed and planned for the trial, as outlined in Table 1. Gross margin returns for all rotations are also shown in Table 1. Gross margin returns for individual crops, as well as price and yield, are shown in Table 2.

In the trials, cotton proved to be the most profitable crop. From a gross margin perspective, it returned \$4,766/ha or \$477/ML as an individual crop, and \$6233/ha as part of Rotation 3.

Cotton was considerably more profitable than other crops when considered individually. Maize returned \$1692/ha or \$176/ML and soybeans returned \$933/ha or \$125/ML.

Winter cropping gross margins were competitive with summer crops on a \$/ML basis. In the trials, faba beans had the highest gross margin of \$1491/ha or \$481/ML, with wheat gross margin of \$723/ha or \$222/ML in second place.

The gross margins presented in this publication are a ‘snap-shot’ in time, reflecting the prices and yields obtained in the trial; therefore they are a guide only.

Commodity prices and the cost of water are constantly changing, so when planning to grow a crop ensure that the gross margin analysis uses current prices. Also use a conservative yield estimate based on personal experience with the crop and local yields. The Correct Crop Sequencing Decision Support Tool developed by this project aims to make gross margin analysis and crop comparison more streamlined (see Section 2).

While individual crops may be very profitable, crop diversity is important for the health of the farming system, risk management and medium–long term business profitability.

***Experience indicates that a crop sequence needs to be initiated around a summer crop
— cotton, maize or soybeans.***



Table 1. Double cropping rotations investigated over five consecutive cropping seasons at Leeton, with gross margin returns.

Rotation	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3	Gross margin	
	Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter	\$/ha	\$/ML
1	Fallow	Soybeans	Fallow	Maize	Fallow	3,014	167
2	Fallow	Soybeans	Fallow	Soybeans	Fallow	1,971	131
3	Fallow	Cotton	Faba beans	Fallow	Wheat	6,233	476
4	Wheat	Soybeans	Wheat	Soybeans	Wheat	3,037	147
5	Wheat	Fallow	Wheat	Fallow	Wheat	1,565	237
6	Barley	Soybeans	Barley	Soybeans	Barley	2,977	141
7	Canola	Canola	Faba beans	Fallow	Canola	3,356	222

Table 2. Price, yield and gross margin returns for individual crops, averaged across rotations.

Crop	Price	Average yield	Gross margin	
	\$/t	t/ha	\$/ha	\$/ML
Barley	241	5.2	542	202
Canola	449	3.4	566	189
Faba beans	450	4.6	1,491	481
Wheat	258	6.2	690	180
Cotton	500*	13.9	4,766	477
Maize	287	11.4	1,693	176
Soybeans	512	3.1	933	125

* per bale

For the winter phase to commence on time, it is essential that available moisture, winter seedbed preparation and sowing are correctly managed. If summer harvest is delayed, consider fallow/bed remediation rather than sowing a winter crop too late.



Barley

Maturity and sowing

Barley is suited to double cropping rotations due to the availability of early maturing varieties. These varieties can yield as well as some of the later maturing lines, as demonstrated in the *Southern Irrigated Cereal and Canola Varieties Achieving Target Yields* project. However these varieties need to be sown on time — the first week of May is a good target date. Barley can also cope better with later sowing than other cereals if the summer crop harvest is delayed but yield potential is reduced and the advantage of early maturity is lost in the spring.

Straw strength

When considering a variety, as well as the maturity, think about straw strength. Some barley varieties are prone to lodging, which makes harvest more difficult. Severely lodged crops may re-tiller and delay harvest while these new tillers mature sufficiently. In this situation, windrowing or desiccation of the crop should be considered and has been proven to be quite successful.

Crop height

Crop height is an important factor when selecting a variety for double cropping planning. Consider the conditions needed by the next summer crop when planting the winter crop. Choosing a shorter variety may be the best choice for harvesting low and being able to sow directly into the stubble. If the plan is to rake and bale the stubble, then a taller crop will not be an issue.

Drainage

Paddock choice is important for barley, as it can be more susceptible to waterlogging than other cereals. The preferred method of establishment is pre-irrigation and sowing into receding moisture. Watering up can work but is far more risky, particularly if the subsoil is already moist and there is ponding on the soil surface. Subsequent rain can be disastrous if the seedlings haven't emerged and weeds will be more difficult to control, and post-emergent herbicides will be required.

Harvest

Depending on the sowing date, variety and the season, harvest could be planned for mid-November.

Stubble

Barley stubble generally handles well and should not hinder sowing of the summer crop if varieties are chosen with stubble management in mind, in terms of straw strength and crop height. As well as direct sowing into the barley stubble, other management options may be burning, slashing, mulching or baling.



Canola

Maturity and sowing

As a general statement, the maturity of canola fits well with many summer crops, however to maximise yield potential, sowing should be targeted from mid to late April. Due to the small seed size, canola establishment is slightly more difficult in stubbles but can be achieved with suitable seeders. Many growers have success in sowing dry and watering up. There are many varieties to choose from with a range of herbicide tolerances, plant heights and maturities. The early-mid to mid maturity varieties offer the best yield potential based on the results of the *Southern Irrigated Cereal and Canola Varieties Achieving Target Yields* project.

Herbicide tolerance

Herbicide tolerant varieties are useful in controlling weeds in canola but keep in mind the yield penalty that comes with triazine tolerant varieties; the potential for herbicide residues in Clearfield® varieties (although evidence suggests that plant back recommendations for maize are very conservative); and limited delivery options and market discounts for Roundup® Ready varieties.

Windrowing

Windrowing has a range of benefits for all canola crops but especially so when double cropping. Apart from avoiding shattering losses, it also evens out ripening and brings forward harvest. Windrowing is recommended when 40–60% of seeds have changed colour. Typically windrowing takes place in late October–early November and the crop is harvested two weeks later. Desiccation is an alternative to windrowing, especially if weeds are present in the crop.

Harvest

In double cropping, canola should be managed so harvest occurs mid to late November.

Stubble

Canola stubbles are generally light enough for most seeders to cope with the stubble load. However, there may be problems when windrowed crops are harvested with headers that have poor or no chopper/spreader, leaving a dense mat of pods below the chaff trail. Baling may be an option to remove the chaff trails and then a multidisc cultivation can be carried out to distribute the pods.



Faba beans

Crop benefits

Faba beans have numerous advantages in the rotation — they have been quite profitable for several years and contribute significant amounts of nitrogen to the following crops. Tolerant of mild waterlogging, they are well suited to surface irrigation layouts. The days of ‘failure beans’ are behind growers, with improved disease resistance in varieties and adoption of proactive fungicide strategies.

Maturity and sowing

With improved disease management, sowing dates have become progressively earlier, with many crops now sown in late April. This has seen yield potential increase but the risk of lodging has increased as well. Crops sown in late May are slow to emerge and have lower yield potential and delayed harvest.

Harvest

Most faba crops are direct harvested in late November but they can be windrowed when the hilum is black to bring forward harvest slightly, even up the ripening of the crop and aid harvest if weeds such as prickly lettuce are an issue.

Stubble

Faba bean stubble is generally easily handled if dry but it can ‘ball up’ if damp.



Wheat

Maturity and sowing

Similar to barley, there is a wide range of varieties available with differing characteristics and different maturities. Varieties suitable for double cropping are assumed to be those with a shorter season length such as Axe or Dart. These early maturing wheats have less ability to tiller than the later maturing wheats, which seem to have a yield ceiling of 5–6 t/ha.

The sowing window for early to mid-late maturing varieties is the first two weeks of May.

Straw strength

When considering a variety, as well as the maturity, think about straw strength. Some wheat varieties are prone to lodging, which makes harvest more difficult. Severely lodged crops may re-tiller and delay harvest while these new tillers mature sufficiently. In this situation, windrowing or desiccation of the crop should be considered and has been proven to be quite successful.

Crop height

Crop height is an important factor as part of the double cropping planning process. Consider the conditions needed by the next summer crop when planting the winter crop. Choosing a shorter variety may be the best choice for harvesting low and being able to sow directly into the stubble. If the plan is to rake and bale post-harvest to reduce stubble issues, then a taller crop will not be an issue.

Watering up

Generally, the preferred method of establishment for wheat is pre-irrigation and sowing into receding moisture. The drawback of this approach is a delay of up to three weeks between irrigation and sowing, assuming there is no rain in the meantime.

Watering up can work but is far more risky, particularly if the subsoil is already moist and there is an opportunity for ponding on the soil surface. Rain after watering up can be disastrous if the seedlings have not emerged and weeds can be more difficult to control and will require post-emergent herbicides.

Harvest

For crops sown in the first two weeks of May, harvest would be expected from mid-November for early maturing varieties through to early December for mid-late varieties.

Stubble

Wheat stubble generally handles well and should not hinder sowing of the summer crop if varieties are chosen with stubble management in mind, in terms of straw strength and crop height. As well as direct sowing into the wheat stubble other management options may be burning, slashing, mulching or baling.



Cotton

Cotton is a long season crop (about 180 days) and seldom used in a double cropping program. With such a long growing season, cotton is not suitable for true double cropping rotations (two crops in one year) however cotton was included in the *Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping* field trials at Leeton to compare double cropping rotations with a range of potential crops.

Cotton is usually sown as a back to back crop or in rotation with a winter cereal. Given the high gross margins possible with cotton, it would not make financial sense to do anything that would compromise yield. When cotton is included in a rotation, it is always sown first without a winter crop immediately preceding it.

In a back to back sequence, the winter period after cotton picking is used for pupae busting, bed renovation and nitrogen application for the next cotton crop. When sown in rotation with a cereal, cotton is always sown first. A cereal is included in the rotation every few years when looking to renovate beds, enable rotation of herbicides, provide a disease break and improve soil structure.

Establishment

The sowing window for cotton is based on soil temperature and starts when soil temperature reaches 15 °C at a depth of 10 cm. Most growers aim to have the majority of their crop sown in the first two weeks of October. Deep furrows can be used to keep beds high (and maintain higher soil temperatures) as well as quickly drain water underneath the plants.

Timely establishment is the key to a successful cotton crop. The further south you are, the more critical is timing. The timing of harvest of a winter crop and the need to cultivate the stubble, makes it almost impossible for timely sowing of a cotton crop in true double cropping programs.

Plant growth regulation

A plant growth regulator is required to ‘stop’ the plant continuing to flower, allowing the flowers to develop in time to form mature bolls before the season finishes.

Harvest

Harvest date will be influenced by sowing date and the relative warmth of the season. Cotton grown in northern Victoria has struggled to mature in some seasons and harvest has been delayed well past the optimum date for sowing a winter crop.

In developing cotton areas, accessing harvest machinery is proving to be difficult, which potentially delays harvest and the sowing of the following crop.

Stubble and pupae busting

After the cotton is picked, the stubble is mulched (with a flail mulcher) leaving trash on top and plant stalks about 150 mm long. Root cutters (disc types) are used to cut out the remaining plant stalks and to disturb the top 10 cm of soil. A tyned implement is then used to cultivate and pupae bust.

If all this works to plan, the cereal crop is sown with a disc seeder by June and harvested by mid-December, leaving a nine month break until the next cotton crop. Faba beans have also been successfully grown after cotton but it does not give a disease break and care needs to be taken as disease problems may increase in the subsequent cotton crop.



These operations that occur after picking may not be completed until about mid-July, which prevents the opportunity for direct drilling a winter crop.



Maize

Marketing

It is essential to understand the markets for maize (grits, feed or speciality types) and establish whether contracts are available before committing to growing a maize crop.

Maturity and sowing

The sowing window for maize ranges from early October through to early December, depending on region and variety. Establishment is the key to a successful crop so ensure adequate preparation time, fertiliser placement and suitable equipment to handle the sowing conditions (crop residues, soil tilth).

As a general rule, the longer season varieties will have greater yield potential. But if maize is part of a double cropping rotation, a lesser yield from a shorter maturity variety may be acceptable to assist with timely winter crop establishment.

The Correct Crop Sequencing Decision Support Tool (Section x) can provide a useful analysis of the gross margins in these scenarios. Is it better to have a higher maize yield and gross margin and forego the winter crop than less maize yield but added income from the winter crop? The results from the comparison will vary from year to year as commodity prices and water availability fluctuate.

Availability of sowing contractors (if necessary) is an important consideration when planning a maize crop, as most crops are established by sowing into moisture and having the contractor on site at the correct time is critical. Some growers do have success watering up but this tends to be later in the sowing window and layouts need to be quickly watered and drained.

The later that sowing occurs, the more likely that grain drying will be required. This can add up to \$30/t in costs when drying and freight are included.

Herbicide tolerance

Imidazolinone tolerant (IT) maize can be used if Group B herbicide residues from the previous crop are suspected — either through the growing of Clearfield® winter crops or application of Spinnaker® to faba beans. Unfortunately for double croppers, IT maize varieties are longer season varieties.

Plant back options

Ensure there are no plant back restrictions for maize when determining herbicide applications for crops preceding maize. It is important that there are no herbicide residue levels to reduce crop performance when committing to a high-input maize crop.

Desiccation and harvest

Desiccation of maize at the black layer stage of grain will improve timing, quality and efficiency of harvesting and ensure even grain drying throughout the paddock. The crop can be harvested within two weeks and paddocks pre-irrigated to provide sowing moisture for the next crop.

Stubble



Modern sowing equipment can handle maize stubbles, however if access to such equipment is not possible, maize stubble may compromise sowing and establishment of the next crop. An effective way to manage maize stubble (where possible) is to mulch the stubble to near ground level to dry the green sap and when burning permits are available, burn the residue.

Soybeans

Benefits of soybeans

Soybeans do not require specialised equipment, and they use less water and have a shorter season than many other summer crop options.

Sowing

The sowing window for soybeans is from early November through to late December in the MIA and CIA and from the first week of November until the end of the first week of December in the Murray Valley and northern Victoria — there is no compromise on sowing date.

Timely sowing enables the plant to accumulate enough biomass before the plant begins to flower. Harvest is then expected to be in late March to mid-April harvest, which reduces the risk of autumn rain and pod shedding.

The ideal situation is to pre-irrigate and sow into receding moisture. Dry sowing and watering up is highly risky, particularly where the soil surface crusts.

Stubble

Stubble after a soybean crop is generally light enough for most seeders to cope with. If the stubble load is going to cause a problem, it can be mulched or chopped after harvest.

Hay

Maturity and sowing

A hay crop can be particularly useful in a double cropping rotation as there is a lot of flexibility with sowing and harvest times that can allow a 'catch up' and get the rotation plan back on schedule. Hay crops are typically oaten hay but do not ignore other cereal options,

There is a broad range of maturities amongst the varieties, resulting in a sowing window from April through to June. Choose a variety chosen where the sowing and maturity dates match the timing of other crop. For example there no point in sowing early if the hay crop will be ready to cut in early September. In this situation, a silage crop may be more suited to the rotation if a market is available. Late sowing can work if a short season variety is selected but yield potential is reduced and sowing rates need to be increased to compensate for lower tillering.

Straw strength

When considering what variety to grow for hay, as well as the maturity, think about straw strength. Some oaten varieties are tall and prone to lodging under irrigated conditions, which makes mowing more difficult.



Crop height

Crop height is an important factor when selecting a variety for double cropping. Consider the conditions needed by the next summer crop when planting the winter crop. A shorter variety may be the best choice for harvesting low and being able to sow directly into the stubble. If the plan is to rake and bale the stubble, then a taller crop will not be an issue.

Drainage

Oats tend to be more tolerant of waterlogging than the other cereals. The preferred method of establishment is pre-irrigation and sowing into receding moisture. Watering up can work quite well but will rely on post-emergent weed control, and the range of herbicides available for oats is not as great as for the other cereals.

Mowing

Depending on the sowing date, variety and the end market, mowing could be planned for late August through to early-November.

Stubble

One of the valuable characteristics of growing a hay crop is the lack of stubble following harvest. As soon as the bales are off the paddock, preparation or sowing for the summer crop can commence.

While this section focuses on the crops grown in the Leeton trial, niche crops and seed crops may also be suitable for double cropping, depending on the opportunities available to the irrigator.

/// set as an aside to main text

Rice — as an option for double cropping?

The extensive crop sequencing experiment conducted for the *Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping* project was conducted at Leeton Field Station in a field where water could not be ponded. Therefore it was not possible to include rice in the rotations investigated, in addition to the scope of the project being primarily GRDC-levied crops.

With improved irrigation layouts and shorter season varieties, rice is increasingly being considered as an option for double cropping programs. In particular, new irrigation layouts with raised beds in bankless channels layouts provide flexibility to move from rice to other crops in consecutive seasons. The availability of shorter season rice varieties provides rice growers with options for sowing in late November with no yield penalty. With the right combination of layouts and variety, it would be possible to sow rice after the winter crop harvest, and then complete rice harvest in time for sowing a winter crop the following year.



/// new section

4. Management considerations for double cropping

While economics may highlight the crops that return the most profit, irrigators and farmers know that crop choice is not that simple. And especially so, when double cropping.

The ideal rotation or crop sequence depends not just on the profitability of a single crop but the overall profitability of a sequence of crops. Further, to achieve a profitable sequence, timing of planting and harvest must be complementary from one crop to the next, as well as crop management practices, particularly crop residue management and plant back periods for herbicides used.

Trial results, as well as previous experience of irrigators, has enabled the following information to be collated about considerations for decision making and management requirements in double cropping.

Soil type

Ensure crops planned for the rotation are matched to soil type, e.g. only grow soybeans on free draining red loam soils. Maize is adaptable to heavy clay soil types where waterlogging may occur and the establishment of other crops on receding pre-irrigation moisture is difficult.

If soils are difficult to drain, bed farming with bankless channels may be a better option than other irrigation layouts, such as border check.

Irrigation layout

Border check layouts are suitable for double cropping when there is sufficient channel supply to achieve the water on/off time of 4–6 hours. This assists drainage for quicker paddock drying. Irrigation timing on a 4–5 day cycle will be needed in summer when heatwave conditions occur.

Fast flow technology to increase channel supply is important for any irrigated cropping but particularly double cropping where there is not time for crop growth to be delayed. Having an irrigation system that allows water delivery to bays of between 15–30 ML per day offers the flexibility of quicker times for water on, however the soil must have sufficient infiltration to allow full replenishment of the root zone.

Drainage is particularly important. While there is a range of ways to reduce ‘water on’ times, any advantage is lost if bays take too long to drain. Similarly, high flows can mean high water losses if bay changeover timings are incorrect. A re-use system will help manage over-irrigation. All paddocks should be drained and water recycled.

Bankless channel layouts with beds or pontoon systems are proving to be effective for a wide range of crops, giving irrigators flexibility with crop choices as well as improved crop production conditions. Terraced bays may be better suited to steeper red soils. On flatter grey clay slopes, if there is excess stubble in bankless channel layouts, water can stall and be pushed over the tops of furrows.

Siphon irrigation is worth considering for flatter slopes (1:1500 to 1:2000) where the paddocks are landformed well. Siphon irrigation may also be used in terraced bays on steeper grades. Siphons are best changed every 12 hours but labour needs to be organised and efficient.

Beds in irrigation layouts must be well formed with deep furrows — no water must go over the top.



If the integrity of beds is damaged (e.g. from a wet harvest) do not compromise future crops — withdraw from double cropping and renovate the beds. Soil compaction issues will penalise the following crops until damage is repaired.

Sometimes “pulling the pin” and not double cropping is the best option.

Herbicide management

Planning and management of herbicides for double cropping takes into consideration all factors that are important in conventional cropping programs, such as crop tolerance, drift management and resistance management. However, herbicide residue management is particularly important in double cropping programs due to the rapid turnaround between cropping phases.

Growers must be very aware of the plant back periods for the herbicides used. Sometimes crop choice and the resulting herbicide program may mean that crop sequences need to be altered.

For example, legumes such as soybeans cannot tolerate residues of Group B or sulfonurea herbicides; and non-IT (imidazolinone-tolerant) maize and canola cannot tolerate residues of Spinnaker®.

/// set following project trial results in a separate page or box

Effect of herbicide residues on maize

The effect of herbicide residues from canola production was investigated on a maize crop, as part of trials conducted during the *Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping* project.

For the 2015–16 season, three maize varieties were sown into the residue of the 2015 Clearfield® canola crop, which had been treated with Intervix®¹ at 750 mL/ha in July. The canola crop received two spring irrigations (1.5 ML/ha) throughout the season. There was little yield difference between the imidazolinone-tolerant variety Pac607IT and the conventional variety Pac624, which had similar maturity (see table).

The trial was repeated in 2016–17, with an additional treatment of sowing maize into faba bean residue, where the faba crop had been treated with Spinnaker® (active ingredient imazethapyr) at 100 g/ha pre-sowing in early May. Once again, conventional maize was not affected by the system where Intervix® was applied, but the Spinnaker treated system did create some establishment issues — populations were down by 26% in P0021 and 34% in PAC624 (see table below) but the faster maturing P0021 did recover to yield reasonably well despite the poor establishment (some plants became multi-stemmed).

¹ Intervix® contains the active ingredients imazamox and imazapyr and is an early post-emergence herbicide for the control of certain annual grass and broadleaf weeds as part of the Clearfield® Production System for Clearfield Plus wheat, Clearfield barley, and Clearfield canola.



Yield (t/ha) of the three maize varieties when sown inside the recommended plant back period for systems treated with Intervix® and Spinnaker®.

		Intervix		Spinnaker
Variety	Maturity	Yield 2015–16	Yield 2016–17	Yield 2016–17
PAC607IT	118 CRM*	14.2	10.3	10.2
PAC624	118 CRM	14.7	10.5	4.6
P0021	100 CRM	12.2	9.4	9.2
<i>p</i>		0.03	0.357	0.005
<i>lsd</i>		1.3	NS	2.7
<i>cv%</i>		2.2	8.7	17

* Comparative relative maturity

Irrigation can affect the breakdown of herbicide residues. Some plant back recommendations on labels may be too conservative when double cropping.

/// resume normal text

Crop establishment

Consistent with any cropping program, plant establishment must be uniform. Correct sowing equipment, such as precision disc seeders, is particularly important with double cropping where stubble is retained. Precision sowing enables even germination, leading to even growth and ripening of the maturing crop.

The use of a lateral/pivot sprinkler for crop establishment is ideal to assist uniform establishment.

Nutrient management

The demand for soil nutrients will be intensified in a double cropping program so it is important to develop a fertiliser program to match crop requirements. Correct nitrogen and phosphorus rates, determined via soil testing and crop usage, are required.

Before initiating a cropping rotation, it may be necessary to renovate paddocks to improve soil fertility and structure (particularly when the soil is hard). Topdressing with manure (5 t/ha) and gypsum (2.5 t/ha) will be beneficial.

‘Strip tilling’ or a heavy duty disc rig can be used to apply large fertiliser volumes below seed level within a month (September) of sowing maize (October) while leaving a small cultivated strip in a hill to improve crop establishment.

Irrigation management

Irrigation requirements can be assessed accurately using evapotranspiration (ET_o) or soil moisture monitoring equipment. Capacitance probes or water potential probes (gypsum blocks) provide a convenient way to measure soil moisture with sensors located at representative sites and soil depths. More attention is then paid to frequency — often irrigating more frequently but using no more water.

Using capacitance tubes to 1 m depth (10 cm intervals) can enable irrigation frequency to be varied early in the season (2–3 leaf stage) and increased from tillering or when roots are showing greater depth.



With sprinkler irrigation, it is important to check sprinkler capacity — older sprinklers are renowned for being under-sized and have difficulty keeping up with summer demand. Calibration, maintenance and even distribution from sprinkler heads are required to ensure the crop receives adequate moisture.

Windrowing

Successful double cropping relies on seamless transition between summer and winter phases. One aspect of bringing about this smooth transition is to harvest the previous crop as soon as possible and then sow the next. Windrowing or desiccation of the crop is an option to ensure that a crop matures evenly and can be harvested in a timely manner, in relation to preparation and sowing of the next crop. Windrowing also is an option to even up the ripening of lodged crops.

/// set project trial results in a separate page or box

Effectiveness of windrowing

Windrowing of winter crops as an aid to bring forward harvest was investigated by the project.

The first windrowing experiment was with lodged barley in 2015. When lodging occurred prior to flowering, the crop began to re-shoot. By windrowing when the crop was at medium dough stage (the grain is hard but can be dented with your thumbnail) the crop was ready for harvest approximately two weeks before the untreated crop.

The windrowing demonstration was repeated in 2016 with fabas and wheat, purely to promote rapid maturity. In both crops, harvest was brought forward by approximately one week, compared with two weeks in 2015.

Results of windrowing demonstrations, 2015

Barley	Treatment	Harvest date	Yield t/ha	Retention %	Protein %	Test weight kg/hL
	Windrow	29-Oct ^[LK1]	6.23	61.0	15.1	71.0
	Direct head	3-Dec	6.28	63.1	14.4	66.3

Results of windrowing demonstrations, 2016

Wheat	Treatment	Harvest date	Yield t/ha	Screenings ^a %
	Windrow 1	24-Nov	6.06	0.2
	Windrow 2	28-Nov	5.97	0.2
	Direct head ^b	12-Dec	5.57	0.5

Notes

Windrow 1 occurred at medium/hard dough, thumbnail leaves a large dent.

Windrow 2 occurred at hard dough, thumbnail leaves a small dent.

^aWindrowed wheat crop samples were threshed by hand, which may explain the low screenings.

^bThe crop was harvested on 12 December due to availability of machinery, however it was ready for harvest around 7 December.

After harvest of the winter crops, a rapid turnaround was required to ensure timely sowing of the following maize crop. This was achieved by using the correct machinery for the job — a header with a chopper that spread straw the full width of the front and a maize disc planter that could sow through the stubble.



/// resume normal content

Stubble

The management of stubble from one crop to the next is a critical component of double cropping. If there is too much stubble from the previous crop, it can impede sowing operations and reduce establishment success. The physical presence of stubble in furrows can cause water to bank and flow over beds.

Depending on the crop, stubble management may be an important issue when planning the crop rotations, as well as ensuring a smooth transition from one crop to the next, when the rotation is underway.

There are many factors at play when considering the stubble management options. These need to be considered before harvest and will include available time, harvester options, sowing equipment, fire restrictions, contractor equipment and impacts on herbicides. All options should be considered — and sometimes burning may be the right choice. Some research work has shown that the impact of occasional stubble burning is minimal.

The *Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping* project managed stubble with direct drilling, mulching, baling header trails, chopping and shallow incorporation by multi-discing, harvesting with a header fitted with a chopper and spreader followed by suitable sowing equipment for both summer and winter crops.

All techniques will be successful when used in the appropriate situation and with the knowledge of what sowing equipment is to be used to sow the next crop and the capability of that equipment to handle stubble. Seasons and situations may affect some management decisions, and flexibility is required. For example, circumstances may change, affecting plans for pre-drilling fertiliser or herbicide applications.

For a detailed discussion on how to approach stubble management, read the *Managing Stubble* guide, available on the GRDC website (see *Useful resources* at the end of this guide for links).

The management of stubble varies from crop to crop. See Section 3 *Rotation choices and implications* for discussion on stubble management for individual crops.

/// photo of maize direct seeded into barley stubble

Machinery and labour

There is a huge range of machinery with differing capabilities in terms handling stubble. Having specialised equipment for the situation, e.g. for sowing into maize stubble, cuts the preparation time and ensures timely sowing. The alternative is to cut, rake, bale and multidisc, which is not ideal, especially when double cropping where every day counts.

As well as using the right equipment, having access to the equipment at the right time is critical. Owning the machinery certainly makes life easier (but maybe not for the financial adviser or bank manager!). However, the economic option may be to find a reliable contractor who understands the need for timely operations.

The harvest of one season's crop will almost certainly coincide with the sowing time of the next season's crop. Murphy's rule! Having access to required labour to manage the competing priorities is essential. A reliable contractor is worth their weight in gold at this point.



Ensure there is suitable sowing/harvest equipment – you must be able to harvest and sow a new crop on time. It all happens in November and you have to be well resourced.

Financial assessment

Gross margin calculations are essential both before and after each cropping phase. While there are standard spreadsheets available to determine gross margins, it is also very beneficial to retrospectively develop individual crop gross margins, as soon as possible after harvest. These will be customised for a particular situation, location and management style. The retrospective gross margin provides an up-to date base document to review variable costs and compare current pricing when there are new purchasing and selling opportunities, e.g. water, fertiliser and commodity prices for current or upcoming crops.

Double cropping needs to be profitable and reflect the additional labour and effort required over conventional rotations. For example, barley may be the best crop option in terms of maturity but there is a price differential between barley and wheat. Additionally, if the crop becomes stressed, barley screenings will increase whereas wheat can be managed for yield and protein.

Water use needs to be factored into overall planning. Winter crops are more water efficient at 2.5–3.2 ML/ha; and border check may use less water than other layouts.

Compare buying water within a gross margin — it is an option and will be undertaken as insurance or to finish crops in dry years or lower allocation.



/// set project trial results in a separate page or box

Salvaging lodged crops

As part of the *Correct Crop Sequencing for Double Cropping* project, the treatments for 2014–15 focused on the use and residue degradation of Group B herbicides under irrigated conditions. The site at Numurkah was sown to Clearfield® barley and canola, as the winter phase of the double cropping rotation.

The only barley variety at that time with Clearfield herbicide technology is Scope CL. Variety trials have shown it to be prone to lodging in high yielding situations. The Numurkah trial site had been pasture for several years and had a high nitrogen status. Combined with early sowing, this resulted in excessive vegetative growth and the crop began to lodge in late August.

Inspection in late October showed that while the majority of the barley was approaching maturity, a fresh crop of new tillers had sprung up where the crop was badly lodged. As timely harvest is essential to successful double cropping (so the following summer crop can be planted on time), methods of accelerating the barley ripening were tested, namely desiccation and windrowing.

Windrowing timing is dependent on the crop being ripe enough. The Western Australian Department of Agriculture and Food publication [Barley harvest and grain quality](#) was used as the guide for when to windrow (or swath). An excerpt on timing from the publication follows:

When to swath

Swathing can begin when grain moisture content is below 35% and when the grain is at the medium dough stage and is hard but can still be dented with the thumbnail. It is better to swath early to prevent losses from shedding and lodging, but do not swath when the ground is wet after rain.

Grain filling studies have shown that barley reaches maximum grain weight when all of the green tissue has gone from the flag leaf sheath and the peduncle (stem immediately below the head). Avoid swathing too early as the grain is not fully developed and this will give small pinched grain. Whilst it is often easier to swath later than earlier, the swaths of a ripe crop may not interlock well enough to withstand disturbance from a strong wind.

High yielding crops are likely to gain more from swathing than low yielding crops. Generally, crops that are likely to yield less than 2 t/ha should not be swathed.

The crop was windrowed using a canola windrower with enclosed knife guards. Even though the crop was quite lodged, the windrower would have missed less than 1% of heads.

An inspection of the windrow one week after windrowing showed the underside was drying quite well. The windrows were quite large but did not collapse prior to harvest. The windrow did dry quite well and could have been harvested two weeks after windrowing.

The other treatment was desiccation using Roundup® Attack™. This product is registered for wheat but not barley. The instructions for use on wheat are to apply when the grain is at the late dough stage (28% moisture) onwards, and the grain will not be used for seed or sprouting, which automatically excludes the product's application on malting barley. The herbicide was applied at 1.5 L/ha with 100 L/ha of water. Again, the desiccated crop was ready to harvest two weeks after application.

Actual harvest occurred on 3 December 2014, partly due to waiting for the untreated crop to ripen but also waiting for a contractor to harvest the paddock.



Crop results for desiccated, windrowed and direct headed barley

Treatment	Date	Yield t/ha	Retention %	Protein %	Test weight kg/hL
Desiccated	29 Oct	5.31	67.0	14.3	69.7
Windrowed	29 Oct	3.23	61.0	15.1	71.0
Direct headed	3 Dec	6.28	63.1	14.4	66.3

The appearance of the desiccated and untreated crop was similar prior to harvest, with no noticeable loss of grain. The lower yield from the desiccation treatment was probably more due to paddock variability than a negative effect from desiccation.

The windrows were uniformly gold on top but the green shoots underneath had dried and remained green in colour. All grain moisture levels were similar at harvest (approximately 9%).

Harvested grain did contain some dirt in the sample. The canola front did pick up some dirt attempting to lift the windrow up and the fingers did flick dirt up into the windrow. Dirt also was in the untreated sample due to the header front being very close to the ground and the plants laying sideways sometimes jammed in the knife guard and were pulled up rather than cut off by the knife.

Overall the experiment was a success, with the canola windrower (in the hands of a skilled operator) quite successfully windrowing the crop and harvest being brought forward by two weeks. Grain yield or quality did not suffer as a result of windrowing, but careful assessment of the maturity of the crop needs to be made as there is the potential for early windrowing having a negative effect on grain.



Lodged barley crop with fresh green tillers



Stubble after being windrowed.




The windrower and windrow

/// resume normal layout



Should I double crop?



Conclusion

Double cropping takes planning, personal drive and maybe some equipment and infrastructure to ensure everything occurs in a timely manner. While it is not for every irrigator, for those with the inclination and resources, double cropping can maximise returns per hectare and per megalitre.

The major output of this project, the Correct Crop Sequencing Decision Support Tool, helps irrigators consider and plan crop sequences and the best use of water in light of varying returns, water prices and seasonal conditions.

Useful resources

Trial results summaries

www.irrigatedcroppingcouncil.com.au

Correct Crop Sequencing Decision Support Tool

<http://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/agriculture/budgets/costs/cost-calculators/correct-crop-sequencing-decision-support-tool>

Situation analysis for double cropping in the GRDC southern region

Project report for VIC000010 published in 2014

/// add link when available

Double Cropping in Northern Victoria

A best management practice guide published in 2009

/// add link when available

Project reports/details from canola and wheat achieving higher yields

/// add link when available

Project reports for *Southern Irrigated Cereal and Canola Varieties Achieving Target Yields* (DAN00198)

Stubble management

<https://grdc.com.au/resources-and-publications/all-publications/publications/2012/05/managing-stubble>



Acknowledgement

This guide was produced for the GRDC-funded project *Correct Crop Sequencing for Irrigated Double Cropping* (VIC00010), with co-funding from the Irrigated Cropping Council Inc and NSW Department of Primary Industries. The project was led by the Irrigated Cropping Council. ICC managed on-farm trial sites at Kerang and Numurkah in northern Victoria and the NSW Department of Primary Industries managed the trial site at Leeton Field Station in NSW.

Other acknowledgements – field staff, trial co-operators

The author acknowledges Rob Fisher and Tony Napier for their contribution to this document.

Further information

Damian Jones

Trial Manager

Irrigated Cropping Council, Kerang, Victoria

Mobile: 0409 181 099

Email: damian.jones@irrigatedcroppingcouncil.com.au

Web: www.irrigatedcroppingcouncil.com.au

Twitter: @Canola_Boy

Tony Napier

Research & Development Agronomist - Southern Cropping Systems

Yanco Agricultural Institute, NSW Department of Primary Industries

Telephone: 02 6951 2796

Mobile: 0427 201 839

Email: tony.napier@dpi.nsw.gov.au

Web: www.dpi.nsw.gov.au

