

Key timings for fungicide applications to manage *Septoria tritici* blotch (STB) in wheat in the medium and low rainfall zones of Southern Australia

Authors

Hari Dadu¹, Tara Garrard², Julian Taylor³, Grant Hollaway¹

¹Agriculture Victoria, Horsham, Vic, 3400

²South Australian Research and Development Institute (SARDI), Urrbrae, SA, 5064

³School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, 5005

Background

Septoria tritici blotch (*Zymoseptoria tritici*), a stubble borne fungal disease of wheat, is widespread and damaging in the Victorian and South Australian HRZ where susceptible cultivars are grown. In recent years, it has also become widespread across Victoria's medium (MRZ) and low (LRZ) rainfall zones. During 2021, AgVic and BCG trials demonstrated less than 10% yield loss in the MRZ and no yield loss in the LRZ in susceptible cultivars.

Disease pressure was extreme during 2022 due to a combination of the amount and frequency of rainfall from August to November which provided ideal conditions for disease development. This resulted in a damaging outbreak of STB in all regions of Victoria and caused yield losses where control was insufficient.

Fungicides are proven to be effective in controlling STB in wheat. However, the long latent period of the pathogen causing delayed symptom visibility makes timing of the fungicide applications challenging. Hence, information on the relative economics of different fungicide application timings in different environments is required to assist growers to make informed decisions about fungicide strategies to manage STB. This article reports on three experiments conducted to identify optimal timing of fungicide applications in different regions of Vic and SA during 2022 for better STB control.

Aim:

To observe the effect of fungicide application timing in controlling *Septoria tritici* blotch in wheat in the MRZ and LRZ of the Southern Australia.

Methods

Three experiments were conducted to determine the optimal fungicide timing for STB control during 2022. One experiment each was conducted in MRZ and LRZ regions of Vic and MRZ region of SA. Five fungicide treatments were applied to the susceptible variety Scepter and consisted of either single or combinations of seed and foliar applied fungicide, which were compared to a minimum disease or an

OFFICIAL

untreated control (UTC). Each treatment was conducted in six replications and arranged in a completely randomized block design. Plots were visually assessed for disease severity and analysed as described in the variety selection trial.

Trial details

Locations:

Location	Rainfall zone	Soil type	Growing season rainfall (mm)
Longerenong (VIC)	MRZ	Clay	547
Hart (SA)	MRZ	Clay loam	417
Nullawil (VIC)	LRZ	Clay	427

Treatments:

1. Seed treatment
2. Foliar applied fungicide at Z31
3. Foliar applied fungicide at Z31 + Z39
4. Foliar applied fungicide at Z39
5. No stubble, Seed + Foliar applied fungicide at Z31 + Z39 – Minimum disease
6. Untreated control - No disease control with 1 Kg STB infected wheat stubble or inoculated with spore inoculum at a concentration of >10,000 spores/mL

Varieties: Scepter (S)

Trial design: Randomized complete block design

Replicates: 6

Sowing and harvest details:

Location	Rainfall zone	Sowing date	Sowing rate (plants/m ²)	Harvest date	Trial average yield (t/ha)
Longerenong (VIC)	MRZ	28 th April 2022	150	17 th December 2022	3.3
Hart (SA)	MRZ	5 th May 2022	150	25 th November 2022	3.4
Nullawil (VIC)	LRZ	4 th May 2022	150	15 th December 2022	2.9

Trial inputs: UREA and MAP applied and managed as per best practice and kept weed and pest free.

Chemical applications:

Treatments/Fungicide application timing*	Product	Fungicide active (gai/L) [#]	Rate
Seed treatment	Jockey stayer®	Fluquinconazole 167g/L	300 mL/100 Kg seed
Foliar at Z31	Elatus ace® at Z31	Benzovindiflupyr 40g/L + Propiconazole 250 g/L	500 mL/ha
Foliar at Z31 + Z39	Elatus ace® at Z31 and Soprano® at Z39 ^a	Benzovindiflupyr 40g/L + Propiconazole 250 g/L and Epoxiconazole 500 g/L	500 + 125 mL/ha
Foliar at Z39	Soprano® at Z39	Epoxiconazole 500 g/L	125 mL/ha
Seed + Foliar at Z31 and Z39	Jockey stayer® + Elatus ace® at Z31 and Soprano® at Z39	Fluquinconazole 167g/L Benzovindiflupyr 40g/L + Propiconazole 250 g/L and Epoxiconazole 125 g/L	300 mL/100 Kg seed + 500 mL/ha and 125 mL/ha
Untreated control	No disease control with STB infected wheat stubble and nil fungicide in season		

[#] gai = grams active ingredient

^aTridermaf on applied at 300ml/ha to all plots to selectively control stripe rust.

Results

Fungicides provided variable control of STB in trials conducted in the medium rainfall zones of Vic and SA (Tables 1-3). In both locations, all fungicide treatments reduced STB severity compared to the untreated control (UTC). Early applications to seed or a single foliar application at Z31 were not as effective as the treatments that included a fungicide application at Z39. However, Z39 application did not provide substantial control of STB in this season which was longer than average. This indicated that there may be considerable advantage with an additional foliar fungicide application at mid flowering (Z55) to achieve further control of STB and yield benefit during high pressure seasons. Within these trials, the best economic control of STB was achieved by two foliar fungicide applications at Z31 and Z39 (with or without a seed treatment) increasing grain yield by 14 to 39%. A seed treatment and foliar fungicide application at Z31 protected the crop from primary infection while a follow up spray at Z39 limited the infection spread to upper canopy resulting in a yield increase. The two fungicide application strategy also improved grain quality of Scepter by reducing screening percentage (~5%) and increasing retention percentage (~17%) at Longerong and improving test weight at Hart (~3%) (Tables 2 & 3). At Longerong, protein percent in the grain significantly increased with more disease which reflected reduced green leaf area due to disease, thus forcing uptake and storage of additional resources in grain.

High stripe rust pressure during 2022 at Nullawil (LRZ) had a confounding effect on results (Appendix 1) and so results were not discussed here. However, the site recorded low levels of STB infection and did not warrant fungicide intervention. Hence, wheat varieties with moderate resistance which are likely to escape yield losses should be considered for cultivation in these conditions.

Table 1. Septoria tritici blotch severity (%) and associated yield loss in the wheat variety Scepter (S) in response to different fungicide treatments at Longerong, VIC, 2022.

Treatments	Disease severity ^A			Grain yield (t/ha) ^A	Yield gain % ^B		
	(% leaf area affected)						
	17-Aug Z37	5-Sep Z53	19-Oct Z77				
Untreated control	15 ^d	39 ^e	95 ^{de}	3.26 ^a	-		
Seed	11 ^c	34 ^d	97 ^e	3.49 ^{ab}	7		
Foliar at Z31	9 ^b	24 ^c	91 ^d	3.70 ^b	14		
Foliar at Z39	14 ^d	37 ^e	85 ^c	3.97 ^c	22		
Foliar at Z31 + Z39	9 ^b	22 ^b	80 ^b	4.48 ^d	37		
Seed + Foliar at Z31 + Z39	5 ^a	14 ^a	76 ^a	4.54 ^d	39		
P	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001			
LSD(0.05)	1.3	2.1	4.2	0.2			

^AWithin a column, means with one letter in common are not significantly different at 0.05. First two assessments were average of single plot assessments while the third assessment was average of the top three leaves of ten tillers per plot. ^BYield gain % for each treatment was presented as percentage yield increase vs the untreated control.

Table 2: Grain quality of wheat variety Scepter (S) infected with STB in response to different fungicide treatments at Longerong, VIC, 2022.

Treatments	Protein (%) ^A	Screenings (%)	Retention (%)	1000 gw
Seed	12 ^{cd}	11.1 ^b	54.3 ^a	30.0
Foliar at Z31	12 ^{bc}	10.7 ^b	57.5 ^a	27.8
Foliar at Z31 + Z39	11 ^a	6.2 ^a	70.7 ^{bc}	31.8
Foliar at Z39	12 ^{ab}	7.2 ^a	65.7 ^b	30.5
Seed + Foliar at Z31 + Z39	11 ^a	5.8 ^a	73.3 ^c	34.1
Untreated control	13 ^d	11.5 ^b	53.6 ^a	29.0
P	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.3
LSD (0.05)	0.5	2.21	5.90	ns

^AWithin a column, means with one letter in common are not significantly different at 0.05.

Table 3: Septoria tritici blotch severity (%), grain yield and quality of wheat variety Scepter (S) in response to different fungicide treatments at Hart, SA during 2022.

Treatments	19 Sept Z71 ^A	Grain yield (t/ha)	Yield gain (%)	Protein %	Screenings (%)	Test weight (Kg/hL)
Seed	18 ^b	3.69 ^a	-	10	3.5	81.6 ^a
Untreated control	23 ^b	3.71 ^a	-	10	3.2	80.6 ^a
Foliar at Z31	8 ^a	4.02 ^{ab}	8	10	3.1	81.2 ^a
Foliar at Z39	20 ^b	4.07 ^{ab}	9	10	2.8	83.5 ^b
Foliar at Z31 + Z39	4 ^a	4.30 ^b	14	10	3.1	83.4 ^b
Seed + Foliar at Z31 + Z39	2 ^a	4.33 ^b	14	10	2.8	83.3 ^b
P	<0.001	0.04		0.38	0.12	<0.001
LSD (0.05)	7.8	0.501		ns	ns	1.42

^AWithin a column, means with one letter in common are not significantly different at 0.05.

Conclusion

Fungicides have been shown to effectively suppress STB infection, but their applications can usually be avoided in seasons with below average rainfall, such as in 2021 when their application in either the MRZ or LRZ was not economical. However, if susceptible varieties are grown during seasons with wet conditions like 2022, then fungicides strategies are most effective when applications are at both growth stages Z31 and Z39. This can result in up to 37% grain yield increase compared to untreated control. It is also worth noting that the STB fungal population has the potential to develop resistance to fungicides and unnecessary use of them should be avoided.

Acknowledgements

This research was co-funded by GRDC, Agriculture Victoria and SARDI through the "Epidemiology of Septoria tritici blotch in the low and medium rainfall zones of Southern Australia to inform IDM strategies (DJP2104_004RTX)". The authors would like to thank Dr Andrew Milgate (NSW DPI) for his scientific input and field teams at Agriculture Victoria, South Australian Research and Development Institute (SARDI), Birchip Cropping Group (BCG) and Hart Field Site (HFS) for technical input and continued assistance in trial management.

Appendix 1:

Summary tables showing yield and quality loss to stripe rust infection in fungicide timing trial at Nullawil, Vic, 2022

Table A1: Stripe rust severity (% leaf area infected) and associated yield loss in wheat variety Scepter (MSS) in response to different fungicide treatments at Nullawil (BCG), Victoria during 2022

Treatments	Stripe rust severity (% leaf area infected) [#]		Head infection (%)	Grain yield (t/ha)	Yield gain (%) ^B
	14-Sep Z62 ^A	29-Sep Z69			
	27-Oct Z77				
Untreated control	47 ^c	82 ^c	22 ^b	2.28 ^a	-
Seed	42 ^c	80 ^c	21 ^b	2.33 ^a	2
Foliar at Z31	19 ^b	42 ^b	19 ^b	2.53 ^{ab}	11
Foliar at Z31 + Z39	5 ^a	9 ^a	6 ^a	3.37 ^c	48
Foliar at Z39	37 ^c	41 ^b	7 ^a	3.03 ^{bc}	33
Seed + Foliar at Z31 + Z39	3 ^a	6 ^a	6 ^a	3.44 ^c	51
P	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
LSD (0.05)	12.8	9.5	3.9	0.5	
CV%	42.7	18.7	25.0	16.3	

[#]Within column, means with one letter in common are not significant. ^ADate of assessment made and Zadoks growth stages Z51, Ear emergence; Z60, Anthesis; Z75, Milk development according to Zadoks et al. (1974). ^BYield gain % for each variety was presented as percentage yield increase vs the minimum disease treatment.

Table A2: Grain quality of wheat variety Scepter (MSS) infected with stripe rust in response to different fungicide treatments at Nullawil (BCG), Victoria during 2022

Treatments	Protein (%)	Screenings (%) [#]	Test weight (Kg/hL)
Untreated control	12.78	2.72 ^{bc}	67.57 ^a
Seed	12.65	3.02 ^c	65.70 ^a
Foliar at Z31	12.40	2.33 ^b	66.15 ^a
Foliar at Z31 + Z39	12.05	1.32 ^a	73.39 ^b
Foliar at Z39	11.98	1.63 ^a	72.76 ^b
Seed + Foliar at Z31 + Z39	11.93	1.20 ^a	72.79 ^b
P	0.34	<0.001	<0.001
LSD (0.05)	ns	0.5	4.4
CV%	-	21.7	5.4

[#]Within column, means with one letter in common are not significant.