

Nitrous oxide emission levels in response to alternative crop rotations

Roy Latta

SARDI, Minnipa Agricultural Centre

RESEARCH

Break Crops

Searching for answers



Location:

Minnipa Ag Centre, Airport paddock

Rainfall

Av. Annual: 324 mm

Av. GSR: 241 mm

2013 Total: 334 mm

2013 GSR: 237 mm

Paddock History

2012: Wheat

Soil Type

Calcareous red sandy loam

Soil Test

Organic C%: 0.9

Plot Size

10 m x 3 m x 3 reps

Location:

Wanilla - David Giddings

Rainfall

Av. Annual: 550 mm

Av. GSR: 400 mm

2013 Total: 600 mm

2013 GSR: 480 mm

Paddock History

2012: Wheat

Soil Type

Duplex sand over loam

Soil Test

Organic C%: 1.2

Plot Size

10 m x 3 m x 3 reps

Yield Limiting Factors

November hailstorm

Environmental impacts

Soil Health

Soil structure: Stable

Compaction risk: Nil no livestock

Ground cover or plants/m²:

standard crop establishment and

management practice no grazing

Perennial or annual plants: Annual

Water Use

Runoff potential: Low

Resource Efficiency

Energy/fuel use: Standard

Greenhouse gas emissions (CO₂,

N₂O): Cropping

Social/Practice

Time (hrs): No extra

Clash with other farming operations:

Standard practice

Economic

Infrastructure/operating inputs:

Canola rotation has higher input costs

Cost of adoption risk: Low

Key message

- Preliminary results showed the level of nitrous oxide emissions did not increase over the 2013 winter as a result of near capacity soil water contents coupled with increased nitrate nitrogen levels resulting from seeding and in-crop nitrogen applications on the canola compared with a pulse crop and legume pasture.

Why do the trial?

Direct greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture currently accounts for approximately 15 per cent of Australia's total, of which nitrous oxide (N₂O) from agricultural soils contributes about 17% of total emissions from agriculture. A review by Grace (unpublished data) suggested Eyre Peninsula had low nitrous oxide emission potential. However the increasingly common wheat-canola rotation in the 400-500 mm rainfall zone of the lower EP, with 200-300 units of synthetic nitrogen (N), largely top dressed onto the canola phase, plus a further 100-150 units of N applied during the wheat phase may lead to higher emissions than previously estimated.

This project will measure N₂O at two sites, in key biophysical areas of the region, quantifying the relative amount of gas emitted from the use of synthetic N fertilizer while assessing the opportunity to provide alternative cost effective N sources (pulse and pasture legume N), which local farmers may adopt.

How was it done?

Rotation trials were sown at Minnipa Agricultural Centre on 30 April 2013 and at Wanilla on 17 May 2013. The treatments were replicated 3 times (Table 1).

Greenhouse gas measurements were taken at Minnipa and Wanilla

on 2 and 17 May (post seeding), 24 and 26 June (post N application), 31 July and 1 August (post N application) respectively. Further sampling on the 30 August and 2 September, 1 and 5 October, and 9 November and 25 October at Minnipa and Wanilla respectively awaits analysis.

Measurements collected included;

- Gas samples from individual collection chambers were taken at 0, 20, 40 and 60 minutes from commencement and sent to the University of Melbourne for N₂O and carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentration analysis.
- soil water content (mm 0-10 cm, 10-30 cm),
- biomass (live crop and crop residues),
- soil temperature (5 cm) and
- NH₄ and NO₃ chemical analysis (0-10 cm, 10-30 cm).

Crop establishment counts were completed on 14 June (Minnipa) and 31 May 2013 (Wanilla). Pea and canola plots were harvested for grain yield at Minnipa on 8 October and at Wanilla on 27 November 2013. Pasture plots were mown on the October and November dates to simulate grazing.

What happened?

Annual rainfall at Minnipa totalled 334 mm, with 237 mm falling during the growing season (April to October). Wanilla received 600 mm over 2013, of which 480 mm fell over the growing season. Soil type is a calcareous sandy loam at Minnipa, pH CaCl₂ 8.1, with 70 kg N/ha and soil organic carbon content 0.9% (0-10 cm) and an acidic gravelly sand over clay pH CaCl₂ 5.5, with 73 kg N/ha and soil organic carbon content 1.2% (0-10 cm) at Wanilla in April 2013

Table 1 Crops, seeding rates and fertiliser applications applied at ¹Minnipa and ²Wanilla in 2013

Crop Type	Variety	Seeding rates	Fertiliser rates	In crop nitrogen fertiliser (kg N/ha)		
		kg/ha	kg/ha (N + P)	June	July	August
Canola	¹ Stingray	3	23 N + 10 P	23	23	
	² Hyola 575CL	4	54 N + 16 P	46	46	30
¹ Ann. medic	Angel	10	10 P			
² Sub-clover	Dalkeith	16	8 N + 16 P			
¹ Field pea	Twilight	80	10 P			
² Lupin	Mandelup	80	8 N + 16 P			

Table 2 Crop biomass (tDM/ha), volumetric soil water (mm 0–30 cm), soil temperature (°C, 5 cm), nitrate and ammonium nitrogen (mg/kg 0–30 cm) and nitrous oxide emissions (g/ha/day) on the 24 June and 31 July at Minnipa

Crop Type	Date	Biomass	Soil water	Soil temp.	NH ₄ NO ₃	N ₂ O emissions
		(tDM/ha)	(mm)	(°C, 5 cm)	(mg/kg)	(g/ha/day)
Canola	24 June	0.2	59	13.3	68	1.6
Ann. medic	24 June	0.1	63	13.2	29	1.1
Field pea	24 June	0.3	59	12.8	28	2.6
Canola	31 July	1.6	51	14.4	36	6.3
Ann. medic	31 July	1.0	53	17.5	9	2.1
Field pea	31 July	1.5	55	17	20	5.1

Table 3 Crop biomass (tDM/ha) volumetric soil water (mm 0–30 cm) soil temperature (°C, 5 cm) nitrate and ammonium nitrogen (mg/kg 0–30 cm) and nitrous oxide emissions (g/ha/day) on 26 June and 1 August at Wanilla

Crop Type	Date	Biomass	Soil water	Soil temp.	NH ₄ NO ₃	N ₂ O emissions
		(tDM/ha)	(mm)	(°C, 5 cm)	(mg/kg)	(g/ha/day)
Canola	26 June	0.2	52	13.9	68	3.6
Sub-clover	26 June	0.1	60	15.1	20	1.8
Lupins	26 June	0.2	55	14.8	24	3.4
Canola	1 August	1.1	84	12.3	75	5.2
Sub-clover	1 August	1.1	86	13.4	29	4.4
Lupins	1 August	1.1	85	12.6	38	6.0

Table 4 Plant establishment (plants/m²) and crop grain (t/ha) and total biomass yields (tDM/ha) at Minnipa and Wanilla

Location	Crop Type	Establishment	Biomass	Grain yield
		(plants/m ²)	(tDM/ha)	(t/ha)
Minnipa	Canola	62	4.0	0.6
	Ann. medic	129	2.8	
	Field pea	49	6.0	1.4
Wanilla	Canola	72	9.1	1.2*
	Sub-clover	94	6.5	
	Lupins	46	12.5	1.5*

*Grain yields were reduced at Wanilla as a result of a hailstorm.

Nitrous oxide emission levels appeared more closely correlated with biomass production (regression analysis of 0.9 and 0.87r²) than with soil nitrogen content (-0.17 and 0.43r²) at Minnipa and Wanilla respectively. There was no difference in soil water content between treatments, however there was a trend towards lower soil temperatures under the canola. More nitrous oxide emission data taken over a longer time period is required before a statistical analysis can be undertaken, and more rigorous conclusions can be drawn.

What does this mean?

This work seeks to test the hypothesis that high levels of soil N created by high inputs of synthetic N in combination with waterlogged conditions will lead

to higher N₂O emissions in the EP environment. The two sites represent the high and low rainfall zones of the region, and so the alternative cropping rotations effect on gas emissions can be assessed under these conditions.

The preliminary results show the level of nitrous oxide emissions did not increase as a result of higher soil nitrate nitrogen concentrations resulting from seeding and in-crop nitrogen applications to canola crops. The Wanilla site reached a 30% volumetric soil water content in August in conjunction with higher comparative measured levels of nitrate in the canola treatment compared to the legumes, but this did not translate into higher nitrous oxide emissions. The two sites had similar measured levels of nitrous oxide emissions

over the winter growing season even though the Wanilla site had increased nitrate levels and similar or higher soil water contents. Any perceived increase in emission levels was seemingly associated with increased biomass.

Measurements will continue in response to any major summer rain events and the 2014 seeding and in-crop nitrogen applications to assess any response from increased levels of residual N resulting from the legumes.

Acknowledgements

Minnipa Agricultural Centre and David Giddings for the use of their land. Terry Blacker and Ian Richter for their technical support. This project is supported by funding from the Australian Government.



Australian Government

SARDI



SOUTH AUSTRALIAN
RESEARCH AND
DEVELOPMENT
INSTITUTE