### 4.1.4 ASSESSING FUNGICIDES FOR CONTROLLING WHEAT FOLIAR DISEASE - LAKE BOLAC (LANDMARK)

## Researcher: Simon Crane (Landmark R \& D)

Location: Lake Bolac, Western District Victoria

## Aim:

To compare the performance of a number of foliar fungicides in wheat.

Crop type: Kellalac wheat
Sowing date:
Soil:
GSR:

2003
Clay loam with good moisture 384 mm

Paddock history:
2002 - Clover pasture
2001 - Grass pasture
Trial information:
Three replicates of small plots $1.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 10 \mathrm{~m}$
Results:
Table 44: Summary of Monitoring and Yield Data

| Treatment /ha |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Leaf rust } \\ 12 / 11 \\ \% \text { leaf } \\ \text { (top 3) } \end{gathered}$ | Leaf rust 24/11 \% leaf (top 2) | Yield T/ha | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Untreated } \end{gathered}$ | Screenings | Protein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Applied Z35 } \\ \mathbf{2 3}^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{Oct} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Applied } \\ \text { Z50 } \\ 12^{\text {th }} \text { Nov } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Untreated |  | 13 | 32 | 3.76 | 100 | 8 | 12.5 |
| Triad 750ml |  | 9 | 11 | 4.08 | 109 | 7 | 12.7 |
| Tilt 250ml |  | 13 | 9 | 3.83 | 102 | 10 | 11.9 |
| Amistar Xtra 400ml |  | 1 | 2 | 4.02 | 107 | 5 | 11.8 |
| BASF exp. 375ml |  | 9 | 4 | 4.01 | 107 | 9 | 12.7 |
| Opus 250ml | - | 11 | 14 | 3.85 | 102 | 9 | 12.6 |
| Opus 500ml |  | 4 | 2 | 4.06 | 108 | 7 | 11.5 |
| Tilt turbo 250ml |  | 3 | 5 | 3.64 | 97 | 6 | 13.1 |
| Rovral 250ml |  | 8 | 13 | 3.97 | 106 | 6 | 11.8 |
| Tilt 150ml | Tilt 250ml | 6 | 5 | 4.02 | 107 | 7 | 13.3 |
| Opus 200ml | Opus 375ml | 12 | 1 | 3.97 | 106 | 6 | 11.3 |
| CV |  |  |  | 7.36\% |  |  |  |
| LSD |  |  |  | 0.482 |  |  |  |

## Discussion:

This trial had relatively low levels of leaf rust and although there were no significant differences, most treatments yielded higher than the untreated.

Amistar Xtra and Opus 500 ml were the most effective at reducing rust and were also amongst the highest yielding at $107 \%$ and $108 \%$ respectively. The highest yield was also the most cost effective, being Triad at $109 \%$ of untreated.

There did not seem to be a benefit from the double application of either treatment, probably because the second application was too late.

Although still quite low there was a better correlation between yield and the late rust reduction, $\mathrm{R} 2=-0.43$ than there was for yield and the early rust reduction, $\mathrm{R} 2=-0.19$.

